ADMINISTRATIO

Acta
Universitatis
Danubius

ADMINISTRATIO

A Strategic Framework for Enhancing Ethical Leadership Through Policy Implementation in South Africa's Mobile Industry

Shoayb Sheik Emam[1], Charleen Jackson[2], Elroy Denver Barry[3]

Abstract: This study developed a strategic framework to enhance ethical leadership by exploring factors affecting the implementation of policies and procedures in the South African mobile industry, with a focus on Cape Town. Using a qualitative, exploratory research design grounded in interpretivism, semi-structured interviews were conducted with 15 purposively selected management employees from a leading mobile network provider. Thematic analysis revealed that successful policy implementation depends on contextual variables, local capacity, and stakeholder motivation. Barriers included misinterpretation, organisational culture misalignment, and lack of communication. The study proposes a strategic ethical leadership framework centred on training, communication, and leadership modelling to foster ethical behaviour and policy adherence. The framework addresses challenges and provides practical, replicable strategies to embed ethical leadership within the South African mobile telecommunications context.

Keywords: ethical leadership; policy implementation; mobile industry; organisational culture; strategic framework

[1] PhD, University of KwaZulu-Natal, Durban, South Africa: Address: 238 Mazisi Kunene Road, Glenwood, Durban, 4041, KwaZulu-Natal, South Africa, Corresponding author: shoayb.sheik@gmail.com.
[2] MBA, Management College of Southern Africa, Durban, South Africa, Address: 26 Samora Machel St, Durban Central, Durban, South Africa, 4001, E-mail: charleen.jackson24@gmail.com.
[3] MBA, Management College of Southern Africa, Durban, South Africa, Address: 26 Samora Machel St, Durban Central, Durban, South Africa, 4001, E-mail: elroybarry1@gmail.com.

1. Introduction

The implementation of organisational policies and procedures has long been recognised as a cornerstone of effective governance and regulatory compliance. However, in the post-pandemic era marked by global digitalisation and heightened ethical scrutiny, the relationship between policy implementation and ethical leadership has taken on new urgency—particularly in emerging economies such as South Africa. Within the mobile telecommunications industry, which plays a central role in the country's digital infrastructure and economic development, ethical leadership is no longer a peripheral concern. It is central to shaping trust, credibility, and organisational sustainability (Ko, Ma, Bartnik, Haney & Kang, 2021).

While many firms have formalised ethics frameworks, codes of conduct, and internal governance policies, their successful implementation remains inconsistent. This inconsistency often stems not from an absence of standards but from a misalignment between policy implementation processes and the behavioural norms exhibited by leadership. As leaders shape organisational culture through their actions, the implementation of policy becomes an important mechanism through which ethical standards are either reinforced or undermined (Yuan, Chia & Gosling, 2023). The South African mobile industry, operating at the confluence of technological growth and regulatory transformation, provides a compelling context in which to examine how ethical leadership behaviour is impacted by the implementation of organisational policies and procedures.

Ethical leadership refers to the demonstration of normatively appropriate conduct through personal actions and interpersonal relationships and the promotion of such conduct among followers through two-way communication, reinforcement, and decision-making (Brown & Treviño, 2020). In industries where technological innovation frequently outpaces regulation, the burden often falls on organisational leaders to interpret and uphold ethical norms. However, the presence of ethical policies does not automatically result in ethical behaviour. Leaders must model these principles consistently, while organisations must ensure that policies are properly communicated, understood, resourced, and monitored (Engelbrecht, Heine & Mahembe, 2022). The literature increasingly highlights the role of organisational context—including culture, communication practices, and leadership capacity—in shaping how policies are internalised and enacted (Sharma, Agrawal & Khandelwal, 2021).

Despite this growing body of knowledge, limited research has examined this relationship within the South African mobile telecommunications sector. Existing studies have largely focused on public institutions or large industrial firms, overlooking the unique challenges faced by mobile operators, such as regulatory volatility, digital ethics, and high customer expectations (Adeleye, Adedoyin, Nathaniel & Alola, 2024). Furthermore, little empirical work has addressed the lived experiences of mid- and senior-level managers tasked with translating organisational policy into ethical behaviour within this high-pressure, technologically driven sector.

This study addressed this gap by exploring the factors that affect the implementation of policies and procedures and their influence on ethical leadership behaviour within the South African mobile telecommunications industry, with a focus on firms based in Cape Town. Situated within a diverse socio-economic context, the city represents an ideal environment to explore organisational behaviour across demographic, cultural, and operational dimensions. The research investigated how policy clarity, local capacity, organisational culture, and leadership modelling influence the degree to which ethical leadership practices take root.

Theoretically, the study was informed by Richard Elmore's Organisational Model of policy implementation, which emphasises the need for alignment between institutional goals, structures, and internal processes (Elmore, 2019), and by Sabatier and Weible's Advocacy Coalition Framework, which recognises the role of beliefs and stakeholder networks in influencing implementation (Sabatier & Weible, 2021). To link implementation practices with leadership conduct, the Integrated Ethical Decision-Making Model was also used, offering a framework to understand how organisational systems and values interact to shape ethical choices (Park, 2022).

The importance of this inquiry lies in its potential to guide mobile telecommunications firms in South Africa to strengthen ethical leadership through policy-based mechanisms. As the sector expands amid rising concerns over data governance, consumer protection, and social responsibility, embedding ethical leadership into policy structures is no longer optional—it is a strategic imperative. By analysing how organisational policies are implemented and how they shape or constrain leadership behaviour, this study aimed to offer actionable insights for improving policy design, leadership development, and ethical culture within the industry.

2. Literature Review

The nexus between policy implementation and ethical leadership has attracted increased academic attention in recent years, particularly in the wake of growing concerns over corporate accountability, governance failures, and public trust. Organisational policies and procedures are not only operational tools but are also seen as ethical instruments designed to institutionalise values, standardise behaviour, and promote leadership integrity (Ko et al., 2021). The literature increasingly acknowledges that while ethical leadership is critical to fostering an ethical organisational culture, the success of such leadership depends heavily on the clarity, consistency, and enforceability of policy implementation (Sharma, Agrawal & Khandelwal, 2021).

Policy implementation is commonly understood as a dynamic and complex process through which formal decisions are translated into operational practice (Sabatier & Weible, 2021). In the mobile telecommunications sector, characterised by technological volatility and regulatory fragmentation, effective implementation requires not only procedural alignment but also cultural and motivational buy-in at all levels of the organisation. Elmore's (2019) organisational model emphasises the alignment between institutional goals, organisational structures, and employee action. He asserts that successful implementation is contingent upon mutual adaptation between policies and the organisational systems tasked with executing them. Similarly, Sabatier and Weible (2021), through their Advocacy Coalition Framework, argue that policy outcomes are shaped by networks of actors, shared beliefs, and political dynamics, making implementation a contested and negotiated process.

Ethical leadership, in this context, refers to the demonstration of normatively appropriate conduct through personal actions and decision-making, with a focus on fairness, integrity, and people-oriented behaviour (Brown & Treviño, 2020). It also involves modelling ethical norms and reinforcing them through communication and accountability mechanisms. Yuan, Chia and Gosling (2023) argue that ethical leadership is particularly relevant in culturally diverse and economically stratified contexts like South Africa, where historical legacies and power asymmetries influence workplace dynamics. Leaders must not only comply with regulatory requirements but also embody ethical principles that resonate with internal and external stakeholders.

A recurring theme in the literature is that the mere presence of policies does not guarantee ethical conduct. Instead, implementation processes must account for organisational culture, leadership modelling, and employee motivation (Engelbrecht, Heine & Mahembe, 2022). When leaders internalise and consistently model ethical behaviour, they influence their followers through mechanisms such as social learning and normative reinforcement. However, when policy interpretation is inconsistent or ambiguous, especially at middle management levels, ethical outcomes may be compromised. Sharma et al. (2021) highlight that ethical lapses often stem from a failure to contextualise and communicate policy frameworks effectively within diverse and decentralised organisational environments.

Furthermore, the Integrated Ethical Decision-Making Model provides a comprehensive lens through which to examine the interaction between policies, values, and leadership behaviour (Park, 2022). This model draws on consequentialist, deontological, and virtue ethics to explain how leaders make ethical decisions in complex environments. It highlights the role of organisational support, stakeholder engagement, and moral awareness in shaping ethical action. Within this framework, organisational policies and procedures are seen as scaffolds that support ethical reasoning—but only when effectively implemented and aligned with leadership norms.

Despite these advances in theory, the empirical literature on the relationship between policy implementation and ethical leadership in South Africa's private sector remains limited. Most existing studies have focused on public administration or multinational corporations, neglecting context-specific challenges in industries such as mobile telecommunications, where ethical concerns intersect with rapid innovation, consumer protection, and digital governance (Adeleye et al., 2024). This gap underscores the need for empirical studies that examine how policy structures shape leadership behaviour in high-growth, high-impact sectors operating within the country's socio-political and economic constraints.

The literature confirms that policy implementation is a critical lever for fostering ethical leadership, but it is dependent on local context, organisational culture, and leadership commitment. The current study builds on this foundation by exploring how these dynamics unfold within South Africa's mobile industry, offering insights into the mechanisms through which policy adherence can reinforce or undermine ethical conduct among organisational leaders.

3. Research Methodology

This study employed a qualitative, exploratory research methodology, grounded in the interpretivist paradigm, to examine the factors affecting the implementation of organisational policies and procedures and their influence on ethical leadership behaviour within South Africa's mobile telecommunications industry. The choice of a qualitative approach was informed by the study's aim to explore subjective experiences, contextual influences, and leadership perceptions, which could not be adequately captured through quantitative methods (Creswell & Poth, 2018; Denzin & Lincoln, 2023).

The interpretivist philosophy was selected to enable a nuanced understanding of how managers perceive and interpret policy implementation processes and their relationship to ethical leadership. This philosophical orientation assumes that reality is socially constructed and context-dependent, thereby supporting the use of in-depth, qualitative methods that prioritise participant meaning (Flick, 2018; Saunders, Lewis & Thornhill, 2019). Interpretivism is particularly effective in exploring complex, human-centred phenomena such as leadership and ethical behaviour, where context plays a significant role in shaping experiences and outcomes (Wahyuni, 2012).

The research adopted an exploratory design, suitable for areas where limited prior research exists. Given the paucity of empirical studies on the intersection of policy implementation and ethical leadership in South Africa's mobile sector, this design was instrumental in generating rich, inductive insights that could inform theory and practice (Swedberg, 2020). A phenomenological strategy was employed to capture the lived experiences and perspectives of managers tasked with implementing organisational policies. This approach allowed the study to explore the meanings that participants assigned to ethical behaviour, leadership responsibility, and implementation challenges in their organisational context (Van Manen, 2021).

The target population consisted of 24 management employees from a leading mobile telecommunications firm in Cape Town. A purposive sampling technique was applied to select 15 participants who had a minimum of three years of managerial experience and direct involvement in policy implementation. This ensured that data were drawn from individuals with sufficient contextual knowledge and operational insight (Etikan, Musa & Alkassim, 2016). Semi-structured interviews served as the primary data collection method. An interview guide aligned to the study's objectives

was used to facilitate focused yet flexible discussions, allowing for the exploration of emerging themes (Gill et al., 2008).

Interviews were conducted in person at the participants' workplace and lasted approximately 20 to 25 minutes each. All interviews were audio-recorded with consent, then transcribed verbatim for analysis.

The data were analysed using thematic analysis, supported by NVivo software. This method involved a systematic process of coding, theme development, and interpretation (Braun & Clarke, 2022). Emerging themes were refined iteratively to ensure coherence with the research objectives and alignment with the literature. To ensure the trustworthiness of the findings, the study employed credibility, dependability, confirmability, and transferability strategies as outlined by Lincoln and Guba (1985). Member checking, peer debriefing, and an audit trail were utilised to validate the integrity of the data and minimise researcher bias (Nowell et al., 2017).

Ethical clearance was obtained from the relevant institutional review board, and participants provided informed consent. Confidentiality and anonymity were maintained throughout the research process, in line with best practices for ethical qualitative inquiry (Orb, Eisenhauer & Wynaden, 2001).

Overall, this methodology enabled a context-rich exploration of how policies and procedures influence ethical leadership practices in a complex and rapidly evolving industry.

4. Research Findings

This study investigated how the implementation of organisational policies and procedures affects ethical leadership behaviour in the South African mobile telecommunications industry. Through a qualitative, exploratory design involving in-depth interviews with 15 management-level employees at a mobile telecommunications company in Cape Town, the study identified ten interrelated themes. These findings offer insight into the organisational, behavioural, and contextual dynamics shaping the effectiveness of policy implementation and the development of ethical leadership within this sector.

4.1. Contextual Influences on Policy Implementation

Contextual variables, including socio-economic pressures, regulatory uncertainty, and technological advancement, were found to significantly affect the implementation of policies and procedures. The mobile telecommunications sector operates within a dynamic regulatory landscape, where policy directives often evolve in response to shifts in data protection laws, consumer rights, and digital ethics. Participants noted that implementation efforts were frequently hindered by broader macro-environmental instability, such as economic downturns, which diverted resources from long-term ethical goals to short-term survival strategies. In this context, ethical leadership becomes reactive rather than proactive, limiting the strategic role of policy.

4.2. Fragmentation of Organisational Structures

The study found that fragmented governance structures within mobile firms often resulted in inconsistent policy enforcement. Departments tended to function in silos, with minimal cross-functional coordination on ethical policy matters. This fragmentation hindered the alignment of leadership behaviour with organisational policy frameworks. While some divisions exhibited strong ethical alignment, others operated with limited oversight or engagement with policy directives. This unevenness across the organisation undermined the development of a unified ethical culture and reduced accountability, as leadership interpretation varied widely between business units.

4.3. Gaps in Leadership Modelling of Ethical Conduct

A critical finding was the absence of consistent ethical modelling by leadership. While some leaders demonstrated exemplary ethical conduct, others failed to align their behaviour with policy expectations, resulting in a breakdown of trust and credibility. The inconsistency between stated values and actual behaviour weakened the moral authority of leadership and contributed to ethical ambiguity. Employees were more likely to disengage from ethical policies when senior managers failed to embody those values, reinforcing the view that policies were symbolic rather than substantive.

4.4. Limited Clarity and Accessibility of Policies

The clarity of organisational policies and procedures was found to be lacking in several areas. Participants reported that policies were often written in technical, legalistic language that hindered practical understanding, especially among non-specialist staff. This complexity discouraged proactive engagement with ethical standards and led to confusion about expectations. Moreover, policy documents were not always accessible or widely communicated, resulting in uneven awareness across departments. The lack of clarity and accessibility reduced the effectiveness of policy as a behavioural guide and contributed to gaps in implementation.

4.5. Misalignment Between Policy Design and Operational Realities

Another key finding was the misalignment between policy design and day-to-day operational realities. Many policies were developed centrally and applied uniformly across the organisation, without adequate adaptation to local challenges. Participants highlighted that rigid procedural requirements often clashed with the practical demands of fast-paced, customer-driven environments. As a result, policies were either bypassed or informally modified at departmental level, leading to implementation drift. This misalignment weakened the intended ethical safeguards embedded within the policy framework and created room for discretionary and inconsistent decision-making.

4.6. Influence of Organisational Culture on Ethical Behaviour

Organisational culture emerged as a powerful mediator between policy implementation and ethical leadership. In departments where ethical values were embedded in everyday practices, policy implementation was more consistent, and leadership behaviour aligned closely with formal expectations. However, in units with a weak or compliance-driven culture, ethical policies were treated as administrative checklists rather than behavioural standards. In such cases, the organisational environment did not support ethical leadership, and policies failed to influence practice meaningfully.

4.7. Motivation as a Determinant of Policy Engagement

Motivation, both intrinsic and extrinsic, was found to significantly influence the extent to which employees and managers engaged with ethical policies. Where leaders successfully communicated the purpose and value of policies, employee motivation to comply and model ethical behaviour increased. Conversely, when policies were perceived as punitive or disconnected from employee concerns, motivation declined. This finding highlighted that the perceived legitimacy of policies and the way they were introduced played a pivotal role in shaping ethical conduct within the organisation.

4.8. Interpretive Variability and Policy Transformation

One of the most critical findings was the degree to which policies were subject to interpretive variability. In the absence of strong leadership guidance and consistent communication, policies were frequently reinterpreted at local or departmental level to suit operational needs. This led to "policy transformation," where the original ethical intent was diluted or altered. While some adaptations were pragmatic, others introduced ethical risk by lowering behavioural standards or creating grey areas. The interpretive nature of policy implementation underscored the need for ongoing guidance, oversight, and clarification.

4.9. Insufficient Capacity for Policy Execution

The study revealed that several departments lacked the necessary capacity—both human and technical—to implement ethical policies effectively. This capacity deficit was most evident in areas such as training, communication infrastructure, and ethical advisory support. Without regular training or forums for ethical reflection, managers and employees often lacked the confidence or knowledge to apply policies in ethically complex situations. The absence of dedicated support systems made ethical leadership contingent on individual disposition rather than institutional design.

4.10. Inconsistencies in Ethical Accountability Mechanisms

Finally, the research uncovered inconsistencies in how ethical accountability was enforced across the organisation. While formal structures such as compliance audits and disciplinary processes existed, their application varied depending on context,

leadership commitment, and perceived reputational risk. In cases where ethical violations occurred but were not publicly addressed or sanctioned, employee trust in the ethical integrity of the organisation declined. These inconsistencies signalled to employees that policy adherence was optional or selectively applied, weakening the normative power of organisational ethics frameworks.

The findings highlight the multidimensional nature of policy implementation and its complex interaction with ethical leadership. While policies and procedures exist to institutionalise ethical standards, their effectiveness is shaped by structural, cultural, and behavioural conditions within the organisation. Factors such as leadership modelling, organisational culture, interpretive flexibility, and implementation capacity emerged as key determinants of whether policies translated into ethical practice. Together, these findings provide the empirical basis for developing a strategic framework aimed at strengthening ethical leadership through improved policy implementation in the mobile telecommunications sector.

5. Discussion of Key Findings

The study explored how policy and procedure implementation influences ethical leadership within South Africa's mobile telecommunications sector. The findings demonstrate that ethical leadership is shaped not only by individual moral values but also by systemic, cultural, and structural enablers or constraints embedded in policy environments. These insights are consistent with recent literature emphasising the dynamic interplay between leadership behaviour, organisational frameworks, and external contextual variables (Engelbrecht et al., 2022; Yuan et al., 2023).

First, the influence of contextual variables such as regulatory complexity, technological transformation, and economic instability was found to significantly hinder or reshape policy implementation efforts. These findings align with Ko et al. (2021), who argue that ethical leadership must be responsive to environmental volatility, especially in sectors undergoing digital disruption. Policies developed without sensitivity to such dynamics often lose legitimacy at the operational level.

Second, fragmented governance structures within mobile telecommunications firms presented significant barriers to uniform ethical conduct. Siloed operations, inconsistent accountability lines, and lack of interdepartmental collaboration contributed to misaligned implementation. This reflects similar concerns raised by Sharma, Agrawal and Khandelwal (2021), who note that fragmented leadership environments reduce transparency and increase ethical drift.

Third, the lack of leadership modelling undermined the perceived credibility of ethical policies. While some senior managers acted as ethical exemplars, inconsistent application weakened overall leadership influence. This mirrors the view of Brown and Treviño (2020), who contend that ethical leadership requires continuous modelling to entrench trust and behavioural norms across all organisational levels.

The study also identified issues of policy ambiguity and limited accessibility, consistent with findings by Park (2022), who highlights the risk of ethical disengagement when employees cannot comprehend or apply organisational guidelines. Poor communication of policy goals and legalistic language hindered engagement and practical application, especially among frontline teams.

Further, there was a pronounced misalignment between policy and operational realities. Uniform policy application across diverse units ignored the nuanced operational contexts in which managers worked, leading to either non-compliance or adaptation. This supports observations by Adeleye et al. (2024) that over-centralised policies often fail in decentralised, high-speed environments like telecommunications.

The study also affirmed the centrality of organisational culture in enabling or constraining ethical leadership. When culture supported ethical discourse and transparency, policy uptake improved. Conversely, rigid, performance-driven cultures often reduced policies to mere compliance checklists. Yuan, Chia and Gosling (2023) argue that leadership culture—not rules alone—ultimately determines ethical outcomes.

In terms of employee motivation, the findings echo the assertion by Engelbrecht et al. (2022) that ethical engagement depends on both intrinsic values and institutional legitimacy. Policies perceived as meaningful and empowering fostered buy-in, while punitive or irrelevant ones triggered disengagement.

A major concern was interpretive variability, where policies were adapted or transformed at departmental level, leading to inconsistent ethical expectations. This aligns with Sabatier and Weible's (2021) insights that policy implementation is often filtered through belief systems, leading to divergence in practice from original design.

The theme of capacity constraints also emerged, with several departments lacking training, leadership support, and advisory mechanisms to reinforce ethical conduct.

Alford (2020) and Bason (2021) similarly argue that without adequate human capital, even well-intentioned ethical frameworks struggle to take root.

Lastly, accountability inconsistencies weakened ethical enforcement. While formal mechanisms existed, their selective or delayed application diluted their authority. This erosion of perceived fairness mirrored the concerns of Transparency International (2023), which emphasise that inconsistent enforcement fosters ethical cynicism within organisations.

Together, these findings suggest that ethical leadership is best cultivated not through isolated interventions, but through a systemic approach integrating leadership development, policy reform, communication clarity, and organisational alignment.

5.1. A Proposed Ethical Leadership Framework for the South African Mobile Industry

Based on the thematic findings of the study, an integrated Ethical Leadership Implementation Framework has been developed to address the structural, behavioural, and contextual challenges affecting ethical leadership in South Africa's mobile telecommunications sector. The framework responds directly to the systemic weaknesses identified in policy implementation and leadership behaviour and offers a practical mechanism to embed ethical leadership across all organisational levels.

At its core, the framework positions "Ethical Leadership Behaviour" as the desired outcome of interconnected organisational functions and value-driven practices. Ethical leadership in this context refers to the demonstration of fairness, transparency, integrity, and accountability by those in leadership positions, underpinned by organisational systems that reinforce and sustain such conduct. The framework comprises six mutually reinforcing pillars, each targeting a critical area of concern revealed by the study.

5.1.1. Leadership Modelling and Ethical Accountability

This pillar reflects the need for senior and middle management to act as visible role models of ethical behaviour. The study found that ethical leadership must be demonstrated rather than declared; leaders who consistently embody ethical conduct promote trust, inspire behavioural replication, and reinforce policy legitimacy. The framework encourages the integration of ethical performance into leadership assessments and 360-degree feedback systems. Ethical accountability mechanisms,

such as internal ethics review boards or transparent disciplinary procedures, are essential to enforce consistency.

5.1.2. Organisational Culture Alignment

Ethical leadership thrives within a culture that supports integrity, inclusivity, and shared values. The framework emphasises the importance of fostering an ethical climate through value-driven communication, recognition of ethical conduct, and a culture of openness. This requires alignment between stated ethical principles and lived organisational practices. The presence of ethical champions and cross-functional ethics task forces can help facilitate behavioural consistency across business units.

5.1.3. Capacity Building and Ethical Training

A lack of capacity emerged as a key barrier to effective policy implementation. Therefore, the framework prioritises sustained investment in ethical leadership training and capacity development. This includes onboarding programmes that emphasise ethical expectations, ongoing workshops using real-world ethical dilemmas, and mentorship opportunities to support leadership development. Ethical competence must be viewed as a core skill set, embedded within professional development strategies across all levels.

5.1.4. Transparent and Inclusive Communication

Effective communication is central to ethical leadership implementation. Policies and procedures must be accessible, clearly written, and effectively disseminated. The framework promotes a culture of two-way communication where staff can seek clarification, report ethical concerns, and engage in values-based dialogue. Utilising visual tools, interactive platforms, and ethical storytelling helps build a shared understanding and commitment to ethical standards.

5.1.5. Integrated Governance and Structural Cohesion

The fragmentation observed across departments undermined ethical coherence. To address this, the framework calls for the establishment of an integrated ethics governance system that connects compliance, HR, operations, and leadership development. Centralised monitoring tools—such as real-time dashboards—should track ethical incidents, training completion, and policy engagement. A unified structure enhances oversight, reduces duplication, and ensures consistent application of ethical standards across the organisation.

5.1.6. Monitoring, Feedback, and Iterative Improvement

Continuous improvement is essential for the sustainability of ethical initiatives. The framework includes mechanisms for regular ethical audits, staff feedback loops, and performance reviews linked to policy effectiveness. Capturing lessons learned from implementation experiences enables real-time refinements and fosters a learning-oriented environment. This dynamic approach helps organisations adapt their ethical leadership strategies to evolving challenges and stakeholder expectations.

The proposed framework is designed to be context-sensitive, scalable, and adaptable to the unique demands of the South African mobile industry. It recognises that ethical leadership is not a product of individual virtue alone, but of systems and cultures that support and reward ethical conduct. By connecting leadership behaviour with policy infrastructure, organisational values, and operational practice, the framework provides a comprehensive solution to the ethical implementation gap. Moreover, the framework is built to strengthen investor confidence, regulatory compliance, and internal cohesion—factors crucial to sustainable growth in a highly competitive and regulated industry. Its principles may also serve as a replicable model for other sectors in South Africa facing similar governance and ethical leadership challenges.

Figure 1. Ethical Leadership Implementation Framework for the South African Mobile Telecommunication Sector

Source: Developed by the Researcher

Figure 1 visually represents the six pillars surrounding the core of Ethical Leadership Behaviour. Each component functions as an enabler of ethical leadership and should be institutionalised as part of a comprehensive organisational development and governance strategy.

This proposed framework bridges the gap between ethical aspirations and practical leadership realities, providing a strategic tool for fostering ethical transformation within South Africa's mobile telecommunications landscape.

6. Conclusions and Recommendations

This study examined the critical relationship between policy and procedure implementation and ethical leadership behaviour within South Africa's mobile telecommunications industry. It revealed several systemic and behavioural challenges that hinder the effective institutionalisation of ethical conduct. These challenges included contextual and regulatory volatility, fragmented governance structures, lack of ethical leadership modelling, misalignment between policy design and operational realities, capacity constraints, interpretive variability, policy ambiguity, and insufficient organisational culture alignment.

These challenges have significant implications not only for the internal performance and sustainability of mobile operators but also for broader sectoral governance, digital ethics, and investor confidence. Ethical leadership plays a vital role in ensuring compliance, trust-building, and stakeholder engagement in a sector that is central to digital transformation and economic growth in South Africa.

The research underscored that ethical leadership cannot be achieved in isolation—it must be supported by coherent policies, inclusive organisational culture, sustained capacity building, and accountable governance structures. The proposed Ethical Leadership Implementation Framework provides a comprehensive, integrated approach to address the key gaps identified, offering a roadmap to cultivate consistent and credible ethical conduct throughout the mobile telecommunications value chain.

To ensure the effective operationalisation of the framework and strengthen ethical leadership within the industry, the following strategic recommendations are offered:

- **Implement targeted ethical leadership training and capacity-building programmes** tailored to the unique demands of the mobile sector. These

programmes should incorporate real-world dilemmas, industry-specific scenarios, and ethical reasoning models to build competence at all managerial levels.

- **Institutionalise leadership modelling and accountability systems** that assess ethical behaviour as part of performance reviews, succession planning, and leadership promotion criteria. Ethical conduct should be rewarded and reinforced as a core component of effective leadership.

- **Ensure clarity, accessibility, and contextual relevance in policy documents** by adopting simplified, visual formats and embedding feedback loops to adapt policies to operational realities. Policies should be reviewed and updated regularly to reflect technological and regulatory shifts.

- **Establish ethics governance units or committees** that integrate departments such as compliance, HR, operations, and legal to coordinate ethical leadership initiatives. These bodies should oversee ethical audits, policy rollout, and implementation monitoring.

- **Enhance organisational culture alignment** by embedding ethical values into the corporate identity through storytelling, employee recognition schemes, ethical leadership campaigns, and structured induction programmes that convey a shared ethical vision.

- **Promote transparent and inclusive communication channels** that facilitate dialogue between leadership and staff. These platforms should include ethics discussion forums, mobile apps for policy access, and confidential reporting systems.

- **Address structural fragmentation and promote cross-functional cohesion** by creating interdepartmental ethics task forces and using digital platforms to track implementation progress. This fosters uniformity and collaboration across operational silos.

- **Develop feedback-driven learning systems** through regular ethical climate surveys, implementation feedback reports, and post-training evaluations. Insights from these tools should be integrated into policy updates and leadership practices.

- **Ensure adequate resource allocation** to support long-term ethical leadership development, including investment in training, systems, and leadership mentoring schemes. Resource constraints must not compromise the institutionalisation of ethics.

- **Promote inclusivity, diversity, and fairness in leadership development** to reflect the demographic realities of South Africa and strengthen organisational legitimacy. Representation enhances ethical deliberation and broader buy-in.

- **Leverage digital innovation** to support ethical leadership practices, including automated compliance tracking, e-learning tools, and AI-assisted decision support. Technology can improve monitoring, communication, and transparency across ethical initiatives.

- **Adopt and enforce robust whistleblower protection mechanisms** that safeguard employees from retaliation and promote a culture of openness and integrity. This is essential to sustain long-term trust and accountability.

- **Foster stakeholder partnerships and external engagement** with regulatory bodies, civil society, and industry associations to co-develop ethical standards and benchmarks. Shared learning and collaboration can accelerate ethical transformation across the sector.

By implementing these strategic recommendations, the South African mobile telecommunications industry can build resilient ethical leadership systems that drive integrity, innovation, and sustainable growth. The proposed Ethical Leadership Implementation Framework offers a scalable and adaptable model that aligns leadership development with policy effectiveness, organisational culture, and digital governance imperatives.

In a rapidly digitising economy where trust and accountability are increasingly valued, ethical leadership is not merely a governance requirement—it is a strategic differentiator. Strengthening ethical leadership will not only enhance compliance and internal cohesion but also improve customer trust, investor confidence, and sectoral reputation. As such, this study makes a significant contribution to advancing leadership theory and practice within one of South Africa's most critical economic sectors.

References

Alford, J. (2020). *Public Sector Strategy: Realizing Public Value through Design, Governance and Outcomes*. London: Palgrave Macmillan.

Bason, C. (2021). *Leading Public Sector Innovation: Co-Creating for a Better Society* (2nd ed.). Bristol: Policy Press.

Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2022). *Thematic Analysis: A Practical Guide*. London: SAGE Publications.

Brown, M. E., & Treviño, L. K. (2020). Ethical leadership: A review and future research agenda. *The Leadership Quarterly*, *31*(6), 101388.

Creswell, J. W., & Poth, C. N. (2018). *Qualitative Inquiry and Research Design: Choosing Among Five Approaches* (4th ed.). Thousand Oaks: SAGE Publications.

Denzin, N. K., & Lincoln, Y. S. (2023). *The SAGE Handbook of Qualitative Research* (6th ed.). Thousand Oaks: SAGE Publications.

Engelbrecht, A. S., Heine, G., & Mahembe, B. (2022). Revisiting integrity and ethical leadership in dynamic organisations. *Leadership & Organization Development Journal*, *43*(4), 475–492.

Etikan, I., Musa, S. A., & Alkassim, R. S. (2016). Comparison of convenience sampling and purposive sampling. *American Journal of Theoretical and Applied Statistics*, *5*(1), 1–4.

Flick, U. (2018). *An Introduction to Qualitative Research* (6th ed.). London: SAGE Publications.

Gill, P., Stewart, K., Treasure, E., & Chadwick, B. (2008). Methods of data collection in qualitative research: interviews and focus groups. *British Dental Journal*, *204*(6), 291–295.

Lincoln, Y. S., & Guba, E. G. (1985). *Naturalistic Inquiry*. Newbury Park: SAGE Publications.

Nowell, L. S., Norris, J. M., White, D. E., & Moules, N. J. (2017). Thematic analysis: Striving to meet the trustworthiness criteria. *International Journal of Qualitative Methods*, *16*(1), 1–13.

Orb, A., Eisenhauer, L., & Wynaden, D. (2001). Ethics in qualitative research. *Journal of Nursing Scholarship*, *33*(1), 93–96.

Park, E. J. (2022). Ethical decision-making models in organisational leadership. *Journal of Business Ethics Education*, *19*(1), 52–66.

Sabatier, P. A., & Weible, C. M. (2021). The Advocacy Coalition Framework: Innovations and clarifications. In: P.A. Sabatier & C.M. Weible (eds.), *Theories of the Policy Process* (4th ed.), pp. 189–220. New York: Routledge.

Saunders, M., Lewis, P., & Thornhill, A. (2019). *Research Methods for Business Students* (8th ed.). Harlow: Pearson Education.

Sharma, A., Agrawal, R., & Khandelwal, U. (2021). Strategic approaches to ethical leadership in emerging markets: A South African case. *International Journal of Ethics and Systems*, *37*(3), 421–437.

Swedberg, R. (2020). *The Art of Social Theory*. Princeton: Princeton University Press.

Van Manen, M. (2021). *Phenomenology of Practice: Meaning-Giving Methods in Phenomenological Research and Writing* (2nd ed.). London: Routledge.

Wahyuni, D. (2012). The research design maze: Understanding paradigms, cases, methods and methodologies. *Journal of Applied Management Accounting Research*, *10*(1), 69–80.

Yuan, L., Chia, R., & Gosling, J. (2023). Confucian virtue ethics and ethical leadership in modern China. *Journal of Business Ethics*, *182*(1), 119–133.