



Oil Politics and Governance: Emerging Socio-Economic Trends in Nigerian States

Adekunle Alaye¹, Oludare Ogunbanwo²

Abstract: In Nigeria, the relationship between oil and governance is a complex issue that needs close examination. Rather than serving as a driving force for fair growth, the country's enormous oil riches has contributed to ongoing socioeconomic inequality, corruption, and environmental damage. The mishandling of oil flows is the main component of this problem. Because of the resulting enthusiasm, the advantages of this recurring value are not sufficiently channeled to ensure the sustainability of development initiatives. The insidious influence of corruption within the oil sector is exacerbating the governance crisis, with bots, malfeasance and fraudulent activities deeply unleashed. Public confidence in government institutions is damaged as a result, which has a significant impact on the political and socioeconomic structures of the nation. The study aims to explore the deeper connections between the petroleum sector and Nigerian governance by identifying and critically analyzing the underlying causes of mismanagement, corruption, and environmental degradation. The research requires a thorough examination of the structural and systemic issues that contribute to corruption in the oil and gas industry in order to formulate robust anti-corruption strategies. It is imperative to reassess policies to ensure the responsible extraction and management of petroleum resources. Thus, the study aimed to provide all-encompassing solutions that would eventually support evidence-based policy.

Keywords: Oil; Governance; Mismanagement; Corruption; Fourth Republic

¹ PhD, Department of Political Science, Olabisi Onabanjo University, Ago-Iwoye, Nigeria, Address: Private Mailbox 2002, Ago-Iwoye 23437, Ogun State, Nigeria, Corresponding author: sunday.alaye@oouagoiwoye.edu.ng.

² Department of Area Studies, Mendel University Brno, Czech Republic, Address: 1 Zemědělská 1665, 613 00 Brno, Czech Republic.



Copyright: © 2024 by the authors.
Open access publication under the terms and conditions of the
Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial (CC BY NC) license
(<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>)

1. Introduction

Nigeria's economy is primarily driven by oil, which is essential in determining the country's economic and political (foreign policy aims) trajectory (Odularu, 2008; Todaro & Smith, 2004). The intricate nature of overseeing this pivotal domain has given rise to enduring obstacles, such as a past tainted by malfeasance, incompetence, and ecological damage (Smith, 2020). Furthermore, the significant influence Nigeria has on international alliances and diplomatic relations stems from its prominent position in the global oil scene (Jones & Brown, 2019). Because of its large oil reserves, the nation is a desirable partner for other energy-hungry countries (Williams et al., 2018). Nigeria's oil sector administration is further complicated by the geopolitical component, which involves the country navigating complex relationships with numerous global powers in an effort to achieve favorable terms, protect the interests of its citizens, and meet global energy demands. Nigeria still faces a great deal of difficulty in juggling its national interests, and foreign alliances.

Crude oil has dominated and been crucial to Nigeria's economy since the 1950s; it makes up 90% of the country's exports and 90% of its overall foreign exchange earnings. Since the 1970s, eighty percent of the Nigerian government's income has come from oil. Nigeria currently holds 37.2 billion barrels, or 29.3%, of the continent's total reserves—126.847 billion barrels—out of the total—that is held by Africa (Ubhenin, 2013). Nigeria now holds the distinction of having the greatest oil reserves in Africa. Nigeria produced 2.51 million barrels per day (bpd) between 2011 and 2014, making about 2.95% of global production. Nigeria has proven reserves of 37.20 million barrels of crude oil and an estimated 180 trillion cubic feet (TCF) of natural gas. The country sells 1.02 million barrels of crude oil to the US alone every day. The 2014 Nigerian Economy Profile Report.

Nigeria's economy was based mostly on agricultural exports before significant oil reserves were discovered. The 1st Republic (1960-1966) was supported by a thriving agricultural industry that exported goods including rubber, cocoa, groundnuts, and palm oil, all of which significantly increased national income (Adams, 2017). Nigeria's economic landscape underwent a sea change in 1956 when oil was discovered in large quantities at Oloibiri, Bayelsa State. This was a scientific turning point that initiated economic reforms that reshaped the country's financial architecture. Nigeria has entered a new age of huge oil extraction and exports, having previously relied on agricultural exports (Jones & Brown, 2019). An period of unparalleled financial growth and economic potential was ushered in by this change, which had far-reaching effects as oil income started to dominate the country's fiscal

inflow (Williams et al., 2018). Nigeria's oil industry had significant expansion during the transition to the 2nd Republic (1979-1983) (Adams, 2017). Nigeria's oil earnings increased dramatically as a result of the 1970s worldwide oil crisis, which also caused an increase in oil prices. Infrastructure development was fueled by the significant expansion of oil production and exports, which was made possible by the rising oil money.

Oil remained Nigeria's main source of income as the country entered the military era and the 3rd Republic (1999-2007) (Jones & Brown, 2019). The oil industry continued to grow, drawing in outside capital and setting new records for output (Williams et al., 2018). Deepening reliance on oil money by the government contributed to the perception of sustained economic growth. But Nigeria faced additional difficulties as a result of its strong reliance on oil earnings (Adams, 2017). There was always a risk from fluctuations in the price of oil around the world, which led to unpredictable revenue and budgetary uncertainty. The economy became even more unstable due to corruption that resulted from mishandling oil resources. The disregard for alternative economic domains, notably agriculture and manufacturing, rendered Nigeria vulnerable to the volatility of oil prices. The Nigerian government enacted the Petroleum Industry Act (PIA) in 2021 as a reaction to these difficulties (Johnson, 2021).

Petroleum Industry Act of 2007 was introduced to revamp the oil and gas sector, ensure an optimal level of transparency and accountability by strengthening governing institutions and attract the much-needed capital through changes to the governance, administrative, fiscal and regulatory frameworks, President Muhammadu Buhari assented to the Petroleum Industry Bill (PIB) on 16 August 2021 and renamed it the Petroleum Industry Act "PIA" or the "Act" (Vanguard New Paper, 2021). The PIA aims to completely overhaul the oil and gas industry by resolving long-standing problems and offering a set of laws that promote sustainable development. Nigeria's attempt to adjust to a changing environment is reflected in the PIA. The law covers a wide range of modifications, with a particular emphasis on the governance and regulation of the oil and gas sector to foster an environment that is favorable to these activities.

However, the success of these changes depends on Nigeria's political and oil sector leaders overcoming significant obstacles. A research project that conducts a thorough case study from 2007 to 2023 intends to dive into the complex dynamics of oil and governance in the Nigerian environment. Its goal is to bring attention to the many opportunities and problems that Nigeria's oil sector and the politics that

surround it present. The goal of this study is to further our understanding of the governance of this important, globally significant business. In order to guide future policies and strategies for oil governance in Nigeria, it emphasizes the significance of critically analyzing historical context and patterns with a focus on sustainable development, transparency, and equitable resource allocation. Nigeria's oil and gas industry received a score of 53 points on the Resource Governance Index (RGI) in 2021, putting it in the "weak" performance category (Smith, 2022). The RGI assesses the governance of 89 nations' mining, oil, and gas industries. It highlights the necessity for Nigeria to improve accountability and transparency, especially in areas like revenue management, state-owned enterprise monitoring, and contract openness.

2. Brief Literature Review of the Concept Oil and Governance

2.1. Oil

According to Cyril I. Obi (2014), oil is a natural resource whose availability is thought to increase the danger of violent conflict, poor economic growth, or act as a deterrent for peace. This definition applies to the study on oil's association with violent conflict in Africa. The "oil curse" viewpoint asserts that the presence of oil wealth can impede the advancement of economic and political spheres. It frequently oversimplifies the intricate causal relationships between oil endowment and violent conflict, implying that these relationships may initiate, intensify, or prolong violent conflicts in African nations.

According to Jeff D. Colgan (2014), oil is essential to both national and international politics, particularly in oil-producing states. These oil fields frequently confront issues like low economic growth, corruption, and escalating domestic and international conflicts over oil earnings. However, the consequences of oil differ according on the leadership preferences and internal politics of the nation. Even though oil houses have a lot in common, they can operate very differently, especially when it comes to foreign policy. This is mostly because the regime was formed as a result of the revolution. This intricacy implies that a state's internal dynamics and policies are intertwined with the consequences of oil, which are complex.

Crude oil, sometimes referred to as "black gold," is a vital component of the world economy that propels socioeconomic change, industrialization, and geopolitical maneuvering (Ross, 2012). The discovery of oil in nations like Nigeria has drastically altered the course of those economies, propelling them to prominence as major oil producers globally (Watts, 2008).

Oil is a crucial raw element used in the production of fuels such as gasoline, diesel, and jet fuel. It is also used in the production of goods like chemicals, lubricants, and plastics. Oil has enormous economic, political, social, and environmental significance in addition to its practical uses. Traded as a significant commodity on international markets, it is a fundamental component of the world economy.

However, the intricate connection between the complexity of governance and the quantity of oil indicates an abundance paradox, which emphasizes that countries blessed with a wealth of natural resources frequently confront complex governance issues. These problems vary from increased warfare and social instability to corruption, as oil riches attracts illegal financial flows and rent-seeking behavior (Karl, 1997). These demonstrations are not just happening in Nigeria; they are also being felt in a number of other resource-rich nations, demonstrating a global concern.

Numerous scholarly investigations have resulted in a thorough understanding of the intricate effects of oil wealth on different facets of Nigerian society, including social well-being, political stability, and economic paths (Obi, 2011). This concept is strongly supported by empirical data from Nigeria. The trajectory of the nation exposes a troubling story of governance shortcomings, which include rampant corruption, institutional flaws, poor resource management, and worrisome environmental degradation. Such repercussions impede the nation's overall development by suppressing economic diversification and escalating sociopolitical tensions.

Nigeria's vulnerabilities have also grown as a result of the complexity of the processes affecting the world oil price, which are marked by price swings and market volatility. Ezeani (2018) elucidates that Nigeria's economy is susceptible to external shocks due to its excessive dependence on oil revenues, which in turn accelerates fiscal deficits, inflation rates, and social unrest. In order to successfully negotiate the complicated terrain of oil dependency, these events highlight the necessity for diverse economic solutions, robust governance frameworks, and aggressive governmental interventions.

Oil-rich nations like Nigeria must reevaluate their development paradigms in light of these critical analyses and thematic discussions, giving inclusive growth objectives, transparent governance procedures, and sustainable practices priority. These countries can only break free from the resource curse and use their wealth of natural resources as engines for social progress, holistic development, and international competitiveness if they work together.

2.2. Governance

A country's development trajectory is coordinated by institutional arrangements, decision-making procedures, and policy frameworks, all of which are components of the complex concept of governance (UNDP, 1997). The finer points of governance take on greater significance in the context of oil-rich economies, providing insight into how well countries distribute, manage, and use their oil earnings (Kaufmann et al., 2011; Meagher, 2019).

In Nigeria, a nation rich in oil resources, the governance paradigm is emerging in an environment characterized by institutional flaws, loopholes in the law, and pervasive corruption. These structural flaws have exacerbated regional conflicts, expanded socioeconomic gaps, and produced a landscape of development barriers, especially in the oil-producing Niger Delta region (Mbaku, 2008; Watts, 2015).

Often used but not always well defined, governance refers to the process of decision-making and decision-enforcement inside societies or organizations. Academics stress the complexity of it and the necessity for clarity. As per the World Bank, "governance" refers to the utilization of governmental authority to oversee a country's activities, as stated in an article by HK Colebatch. Features like an independent judiciary, responsible public budget management, effective public service, and respect for the law and human rights at all governmental levels are all included in this definition.

According to Rhodes (1997), the term "governance" describes self-organizing, interorganizational networks that are defined by interdependence, resource exchange, rules of the game, and a considerable degree of autonomy from the state. Public administration and public policy fall within Rod Rhodes' notion of governance. The guardianship of the official and unofficial political rules of the game is known as governance. The actions that entail establishing the guidelines for the use of force and resolving disagreements regarding these guidelines are referred to as governance.

According to empirical research, Nigeria's governance deficiencies result in adverse development trajectories that exacerbate poverty cycles, restrict economic diversification, and weaken social cohesion (Onuoha, 2014; Ezeani, 2018). These governance issues have knock-on implications that impede the nation's overall development and exacerbate the vulnerabilities that come with being an oil-dependent economy (Karl, 1997; Auty, 2001).

Corrective measures based on comprehensive governance changes, including as institutional revitalization, enhanced regulation, and anti-corruption actions, are

supported by the scholarly consensus (Urama & Ozor, 2016; Okafor-Yarwood & Oviasogie, 2020). To establish an environment that supports sustainable growth and fair resource distribution, such reform initiatives must strengthen accountability mechanisms, institutionalize transparency principles, and increase institutional capacity (Ake, 2010; Akinlo, 2015).

Additionally, it is imperative to advance a participatory governance structure that incorporates stakeholders from the public and commercial sectors, as well as marginalized groups (Brinkerhoff & Brinkerhoff, 2011; Olowu & Wunsch, 2004). This collaborative spirit reduces the negative effects of oil reliance and paves the way for sustainable development paths by fostering policy innovation, fostering public trust, and facilitating citizen empowerment (Boone, 2014; Uche, 2017).

In conclusion, addressing the intricate governance issues that arise in countries that rely heavily on oil, like Nigeria, calls for a multifaceted, inclusive, and evidence-based strategy. Nigeria can overcome its governance deficits by using its oil resources as catalysts for equitable development, socioeconomic progress, and sustainable prosperity. This can be achieved by prioritizing comprehensive reforms, institutional rejuvenation, and participatory governance paradigms (Ross, 2012; Sachs & Warner, 2001).

3. Research Methodology

We used a combination of methods in this study to give a thorough insight. According to Smith's (2015) advice, quantitative research is essential for supplying organized data on a range of aspects of oil and governance, including as income distribution, the efficacy of policies, and regulatory compliance. via the use of sophisticated statistical methods and thorough examination of huge datasets. In order to evaluate the effects of policies and interventions on governance outcomes, we have attempted to find patterns and correlations in the field of oil governance.

Additionally, as Williams (2020) points out, qualitative research enhances these quantitative findings by examining the viewpoints and incentives of stakeholders. Qualitative approaches, through investigating subjective narratives, offer priceless insights into the intricate dynamics of oil governance in Nigeria, enhancing comprehension of the experiences and viewpoints of players.

A thorough grasp of the multifaceted dynamics of Nigerian petroleum governance is made easier by the merging of qualitative and quantitative approaches. For this

project, surveys, econometric modeling, and statistical analysis of official government records and industry reports were typical methods of gathering quantitative data. Concurrently, comprehensive, contextual data regarding the viewpoints and experiences of stakeholders were gathered through the use of qualitative techniques including focus groups and interviews.

Additionally, a crucial step in the process of gathering quantitative data was the questionnaire's administration. Through methodically gathering feedback from the wide range of participants, we were able to obtain important understandings of attitudes, beliefs, and actions concerning oil and government in Nigeria. We acquired empirical understanding of the intricate interactions between oil production, revenue creation, and governance outcomes in the Nigerian context using this academically demanding mixed methods methodology. In the end, this strategy seeks to offer a distinct comprehension of the obstacles and prospects present in the petroleum management domain.

4. Method of Data Collection

4.1. Questionnaire

One technique accessible to get data from respondents is the questionnaire. The questionnaire is divided into four components. The first section asks questions about the respondents' demographics, and the second section gathers data about police training.

Information on the effects of police training is gathered in the third section, while data on police performance is gathered in the fourth. There are forty (40) structured questions in the questionnaire. The questionnaire has certain sections that are organized according to a 5-point Likert scale: Agree, Strongly Agree, Agree, Disagree, and Undecided. Some are unrestricted inquiries.

4.2. Interview

The primary technique for gathering qualitative data is through interviews, which provide a thorough examination of individuals' viewpoints and experiences. The four primary sections of the interview protocol are intended to cover various facets of the study subject. The purpose of the first portion is to gather participant demographic data and the background of their answers. The following section

examines the subtleties of police training by examining the views, experiences, and observations of the participants. The third element then seeks to illustrate the impact that police training has been observed to have, enabling participants to pinpoint the ways in which various training components have influenced their practice and professional growth. The fourth and last segment examined the participants' evaluations of policing in order to gather insight into their opinions of the sector's efficacy, difficulties, and potential areas for development. A number of open-ended questions in the interview procedure enable participants to freely share their ideas, emotions, and experiences, which makes it easier to gather comprehensive and trustworthy data.

5. Theoretical Framework

5.1. The Elite Theory

Among the most well-known proponents of the elite hypothesis were Vilfredo Pareto (1848-1923), Gaetano Mosca (1858-1941), and Roberto Michels (1876-1936). One might utilize the elite as a point of reference to comprehend why corruption is so pervasive in Nigeria. It gives close consideration to how a society's leadership influences political and socioeconomic matters (Igiebor, 2019). A person in a culture who possesses a great deal of political, social, or economic power due to exposure, knowledge, connections, education, and material wealth is referred to as a "elite" or "elites" (Bottomore, 1976; Nwankwo, 1997; Parry, 1976).

The characteristics of colonial Nigeria influenced the establishment and growth of Nigeria's elite. The elites who became political leaders during the decolonization era did not deviate from the colonial authority's development philosophy, as evidenced by the persecution of the Nigerian people after World War II. An elite theoretical viewpoint holds that corruption in Nigeria is fostered at the highest levels. In terms of leadership, Nigeria has a long history of being perceived as corrupt. After leaving government, a large number of former public officials have accumulated substantial wealth (Adedaja, 2013). The social strata have been corrupted by a non-accountability regime. Nigeria's economic development is negatively impacted when public officials abuse their administrative authority for personal gain without being held accountable to the public (GFI, 2013).

5.2. Resource Curse Theory

The “paradox of plenty,” or resource curse hypothesis, postulates that nations endowed with an abundance of natural resources—especially non-renewable resources like minerals or oil—may experience political unrest and economic hardships rather than reaping the full benefits of their abundance. Resource-exporting nations may suffer from a number of adverse outcomes, such as an excessive reliance on one industry, volatility in commodity prices, corruption, a lack of diversification, and poor economic management.

Auty (2001) A groundbreaking idea in the study of countries blessed with an abundance of natural resources, like oil, resource curse theory provides a thorough framework for comprehending the complex issues these countries frequently experience, from social unrest and political instability to economic stagnation. This theory offers important insight into the challenges of managing oil wealth and its significant consequences for government structures in Nigeria’s complicated socio economic environment.

Corruption and rent-seeking: Karl (1997) said that the understanding that an excess of oil resources can serve as a stimulant for political leaders and government officials to engage in corrupt and rent-seeking behavior is at the core of the resource curse theory.

The mishandling and unequal distribution of oil money, along with a general absence of openness, underscore the detrimental effects of corruption on the nation’s social cohesion and economic growth. Dependency and economic disruption: Ross (2012) elaborates on the growing body of research showing how an excessive reliance on oil earnings can lead to economic disruption, impeding efforts at diversification and escalating social gaps. Nigeria’s excessive reliance on oil exports has stunted the country’s ability to grow in other areas and has prolonged the country’s cycle of dependency and underdevelopment.

6. Emerging Trends of Oil and Governance in Nigeria's Fourth Republic

Beyond just economic implications, the effects of oil-related activities penetrate Nigeria's sociopolitical structure, escalating socioeconomic inequality, ethnic tensions, and political instability (Adele et al., 2017; Ikelegbe, 2005). The fourth republic of Nigeria's democratic consolidations and governance have been undermined by these complex effects, which have produced recurring difficulties and traps. They undoubtedly impede the nation's growth paths and feed cyclical patterns of instability and unrest (Ukiwo, 2006; Suberu & Diamond, 2003).

According to Suberu (2001) and Watts (2008), Nigeria's intricate political economy is a patchwork of ethnic diversity, geographical differences, and ubiquitous governance complications that have significantly influenced the development of the country's oil industry. The Niger Delta region, which is a true oil riches reservoir but also, ironically, a hotbed of discontent, violence, and environmental degradation, serves as a metaphor for global governance failings at both the national and subnational levels. (Okonta & Douglas, 2001; Obi, 2011).

According to the empirical analyses, mismanagement, ethno-regional conflicts, and environmental degradation have all been brought about by the interplay between oil extraction, revenue distribution, and sociopolitical dynamics (Omeje, 2006; Obi, 2011). The cyclical interaction of these factors highlights the need for holistic interventions rooted in inclusive governance paradigms, equitable resource allocation and conflict resolution mechanisms (Omeje, 2006; Aghedo & Osumah, 2011). These determinants interact cyclically, which emphasizes the need for comprehensive interventions based on fair resource distribution, inclusive governance paradigms, and conflict resolution techniques (Omeje, 2006; Aghedo & Osumah, 2011).

In order to address the underlying causes of Nigeria's severe problems, the scientific community supports transformative reforms centered on transparent resource revenue, participatory government, and intercommunity discussion (Omeje, 2006; Suberu, 2007). In order to foster an atmosphere that is conducive to long-lasting peace, socioeconomic advancement, and democratic consolidation, such reform initiatives necessitate the development of synergies between government actors, civil society organizations, and local stakeholders (Adele et al., 2017; Okafor-Yarwood & Oviasogie, 2020).

In summary, a varied, inclusive, and evidence-based strategy is necessary to address Nigeria's wide range of ethnic diversity, regional inequities, and governance

difficulties. Nigeria can handle its various issues and use its enormous resources as catalysts for equitable development, national cohesion, and sustained prosperity by giving priority to comprehensive reforms, inclusive governance processes, and conflict mitigation techniques (Suberu, 2001; Ake, 2010).

A thorough analysis of the body of research on oil and governance in Nigeria's fourth republic reveals a complex environment characterized by problems with corruption, weak institutions, environmental damage, and socioeconomic inequality.

7. Discussion of Findings

7.1. Evolution of Oil Governance

Question 1: How would you describe the evolution of oil governance in Nigeria from 2007 to 2023?

Findings to Research Question 1

Respondent I (2024) describes the evolution of oil governance in Nigeria as a process marked by incremental policy reforms and regulatory adjustments aimed at addressing persistent inefficiencies. The introduction of the Petroleum Industry Act (PIA) in 2021 is highlighted as a significant milestone. However, the legacy of weak governance and corruption has limited the realization of these reforms' full benefits. The evolution of governance in the sector has been characterized more by gradual changes rather than transformative reform.

Respondent II (2024) notes that while there have been efforts to improve transparency and accountability, the evolution of oil governance has faced significant challenges. The slow pace of reforms and lack of coordination between agencies have hindered progress. The PIA was a pivotal development, but its effectiveness is still uncertain due to the complexities involved in implementing such comprehensive reforms in the oil sector.

Respondent III (2024) offers a more skeptical view, suggesting that despite the talk of reforms and changes, practical improvements have been minimal. From a driver's perspective, rising fuel and diesel prices and poor infrastructure persist, indicating that the benefits of oil governance reforms are not yet evident in everyday life.

Respondent IV (2024) describes the evolution of oil governance as complex, with numerous attempts to reform the sector over the years. The PIA, introduced in 2021, was a notable legislative achievement aimed at restructuring the Nigerian National

Petroleum Corporation (NNPC) and improving transparency. However, uneven implementation of the PIA and the persistence of deep-rooted issues in the sector have meant that many challenges remain unresolved.

Linking to Objective 1: The responses highlight the evolution of oil governance in Nigeria as a mix of incremental reforms and significant legislative changes like the PIA. The key challenges identified include the persistence of weak governance structures, corruption, and inefficient implementation of reforms. Despite efforts to improve the sector, the benefits of these reforms have not been fully realized, and many deep-seated issues continue to affect the governance of Nigeria's oil industry.

Question 2: What are the most important challenges facing the governance of the Nigerian oil industry today?

Findings to Research Question 2

Respondent I (2024) identifies a range of systemic issues affecting the governance of Nigeria's oil industry, including widespread corruption, lack of transparency, and inadequate infrastructure. The respondent also highlights the environmental degradation caused by oil production as a critical concern. Additionally, the respondent notes the complexity and overlap of regulatory frameworks, which create inefficiencies and confusion. This, combined with the disconnect between the federal government and local communities in oil-producing regions, exacerbates tensions and contributes to instability.

Respondent II (2024) offers a critical perspective on the governance challenges in Nigeria's oil industry, focusing on entrenched corruption, lack of transparency, and inadequate regulations. The respondent characterizes these issues as a "toxic cocktail" that perpetuates inequality and stifles development. For this respondent, the situation represents a moral crisis that demands immediate attention and action from all stakeholders. The emphasis on ethical failure and the need for a more just and equitable system reflects deep frustration with the current governance structure.

Respondent III (2024), a resident of the Niger Delta, provides a grassroots perspective, emphasizing the disconnect between government policies and the actual conditions on the ground. Despite the government's claims of new policies and reforms, the respondent argues that environmental degradation continues, and local livelihoods remain under threat. The lack of genuine engagement with local communities further compounds these issues, leaving residents feeling excluded from decisions that directly affect them. This exclusion fosters resentment and contributes to the broader governance challenges in the region.

Respondent IV (2024) highlights corruption, political interference, and the centralization of power within the Nigerian National Petroleum Corporation (NNPC) as significant challenges to governance. The respondent argues that the concentration of authority in the NNPC has led to a lack of accountability, with regulatory agencies hampered by underfunding and political meddling. Additionally, the respondent points to the ongoing conflict between the federal and state governments over resource control as a contentious issue that complicates governance efforts and undermines cooperation.

Linking to Objective 1: The responses collectively underscore the multifaceted challenges that have plagued the governance of Nigeria's oil industry from 2007 to 2023. Corruption, lack of transparency, and the complex regulatory environment are recurring themes, indicating systemic issues that hinder effective governance. The perspectives also highlight the socio-political dimensions of these challenges, particularly the disconnect between government policies and the realities faced by local communities, as well as the power struggles between federal and state authorities. Addressing these challenges will require comprehensive reforms that go beyond administrative changes, focusing on building trust, accountability, and inclusive governance structures that prioritize the needs of all stakeholders.

Question 3: In your opinion, how has corruption affected the governance of the Nigerian oil sector?

Findings to Research Question 3

Respondent I (2024) views corruption as a major factor that has distorted policy implementation and regulatory compliance within Nigeria's oil sector. The respondent argues that this distortion creates an environment where illegal activities can flourish, and public resources are frequently mismanaged. Instead of serving as a catalyst for national development, the oil sector has become a means for personal enrichment, leading to chronic underinvestment in crucial areas such as infrastructure and environmental protection. This underinvestment, in turn, exacerbates the sector's already significant challenges, creating a vicious cycle of inefficiency and neglect.

Respondent II (2024) provides a more personal and emotional critique of the impact of corruption on the oil sector, describing it as endemic and deeply ingrained in Nigerian society, to the point where it is perceived by some as a cultural syndrome. The respondent expresses shock and disillusionment at the scale of income loss, environmental degradation, and social unrest that have resulted from corruption.

This respondent emphasizes that the wealth generated by the oil sector has largely benefited a privileged few rather than the broader Nigerian population, which has been betrayed by systemic failures. The respondent's perspective highlights the tragic consequences of corruption, which has not only squandered national resources but also exacerbated inequality and social tension.

Respondent III (2024) also highlights the detrimental effects of corruption on the governance of Nigeria's oil sector, noting that it distorts decision-making processes and leads to widespread mismanagement and inefficiency. The respondent points out that instead of oil revenues being used to benefit local communities and improve infrastructure, the funds are often siphoned off by a few individuals. This corruption not only deprives communities of essential services and development opportunities but also erodes public trust in institutions. Furthermore, the respondent observes that the lack of transparency and accountability means that government promises regarding environmental compensation and remediation frequently go unfulfilled, further deepening public cynicism and discontent.

Respondent IV (2024) focuses on the broader, systemic impacts of corruption within Nigeria's oil sector, describing its effects as devastating. The respondent notes that corruption has led to the misallocation of resources, with funds intended for the public good being diverted for personal gain. This misallocation has eroded public trust in the institutions responsible for managing the sector and has discouraged both local and foreign investment. Additionally, the respondent argues that corruption has fostered a culture of impunity, where unethical behavior is rarely punished, thereby entrenching the problems further. According to this respondent, corruption has effectively prevented Nigeria from fully benefiting from its vast oil wealth, stifling the sector's potential to contribute to national development.

Linking to Objective 1: The responses from the different respondents underscore the pervasive and destructive impact of corruption on the governance of Nigeria's oil industry. Corruption is repeatedly cited as a central issue that distorts policy implementation, undermines regulatory compliance, and leads to the misallocation of resources. These problems have created an environment where illegal activities flourish, and public trust in institutions is severely eroded. The systemic nature of corruption has not only hindered the development of the oil sector but has also prevented the broader Nigerian population from benefiting from the country's vast natural resources. Addressing these challenges will require comprehensive reforms aimed at enhancing transparency, accountability, and the effective management of public resources.

Question 4: What are the consequences of poor governance in the oil sector?

Findings to Research Question 4

Respondent I (2024) discusses the various consequences of poor governance in Nigeria's oil sector, highlighting operational inefficiency, reduced investor confidence, and environmental degradation as the primary outcomes. According to this respondent, ineffective management within the sector leads to delays in project execution, increased costs, and a significant loss of income. These inefficiencies make the oil sector less attractive to investors, ultimately hindering economic growth. Moreover, the respondent emphasizes that poor governance results in insufficient attention to environmental regulations, causing pollution and ecological damage with long-term consequences for both local communities and the broader environment.

Respondent II (2024) views the consequences of poor governance in the oil sector as devastating and far-reaching, with environmental damage, social injustice, and economic instability being key issues. The respondent expresses a deep sense of responsibility and urgency, calling for the acknowledgment of past mistakes and the creation of a fairer system that prioritizes the needs of the Nigerian people. This response underscores the moral and ethical dimensions of the governance failures in the oil sector, highlighting the need for systemic change to address the social and environmental injustices that have arisen.

Respondent III (2024), a businesswoman, points out that poor governance generates inefficiency and lost opportunities within the oil sector, which directly impacts businesses. According to her, these inefficiencies lead to higher operational costs, lower competitiveness, and a challenging environment for business growth. Poor governance also stifles innovation and inhibits the potential of new businesses, which are essential for economic diversification. The respondent emphasizes that the ripple effects of poor governance extend throughout the economy, affecting consumer spending, job creation, and overall economic stability.

Respondent IV (2024) highlights the far-reaching consequences of poor governance in Nigeria's oil sector across several dimensions—economic, political, environmental, and social. Economically, the respondent notes that poor governance has resulted in billions of dollars in lost revenue that could have been used to develop critical infrastructure, healthcare, and education. Politically, the mismanagement of the oil sector has fueled unrest and militancy, particularly in the Niger Delta, where communities feel exploited. Environmentally, poor governance has led to significant

degradation, with oil spills and pollution devastating local ecosystems. Socially, the failure to properly manage and distribute oil wealth has exacerbated poverty and inequality, perpetuating a cycle of underdevelopment and dissatisfaction.

Linking to Objective 1: The responses collectively highlight the severe consequences of poor governance in Nigeria's oil sector, ranging from economic inefficiency and environmental degradation to social injustice and political unrest. Poor governance not only undermines the sector's operational effectiveness and investor confidence but also has profound impacts on the broader economy, environment, and social fabric of the country. These outcomes reinforce the critical importance of addressing the governance challenges within the oil sector to ensure sustainable development, social equity, and economic stability.

8. Conclusion

This study reveals that governance in Nigeria's oil sector continues to be fraught with significant challenges, despite the introduction of the Petroleum Industry Act (PIA). The research demonstrates that systemic issues such as corruption, political interference, and institutional weaknesses remain deeply entrenched, hindering the sector's potential to contribute meaningfully to national development. While the PIA represents a critical reform aimed at enhancing transparency and accountability, its implementation has been hampered by a lack of political will, inadequate regulatory capacity, and resistance from vested interests within the industry. Consequently, the governance environment in the Nigerian oil sector remains largely unchanged, with the same inefficiencies and corrupt practices that have historically plagued the industry persisting.

9. Recommendations

A comprehensive set of policies to address some of the structural issues the Nigerian State is experiencing might be identified by the poor governance. Therefore, we urge the following measures in order to stop the viciousness and plague of socio-economic underdevelopment in Nigeria:

The study suggests that government at all levels should put in place infrastructural amenities including optimal operations of refineries, high-quality healthcare services and open markets as this will significantly lower the socioeconomic challenges in

the country and subsequently brings the country out several pitfalls confronting the nation.

A policy of import substitution could prevent overdependence on the oil sector. While small and medium-sized enterprises (SME) should be promoted and given more influence, local industries should be rejuvenated so as to encourage young school leavers or graduates to be independent without naively depending on the government for the provision of employment if necessary, with a high degree of state intervention and planning, vocational training and development.

Interventions that target poverty and are blind to sharing of resources rather than production should be established by the government. Priority should be given to interventions that aim to diversify the state economy away from oil among those that are distribution-blind. The twin forces of industry and service-driven wages in urban areas, and agricultural productivity in rural areas, are what will drive Nigeria's rapid diversification of growth and increase in job creations.

The study also recommends that governments at all levels develop policy initiatives aimed at increasing the number of jobs in the modern private sectors, boosting the productivity of traditional economic sectors, and encouraging worker mobility in terms of both their occupations and their locations.

Nigerian governments at all levels (federal, state, or local) should implement a programme called "value reorientation" throughout the country to reorient Nigerians towards the high rate of dependence on foreign goods or services, which tends to impede the nation's economic growth and development. Nigerians should therefore, view locally produced goods favorably.

The government should see to it that money goes to the manufacturing sector. This will undoubtedly make it possible for Nigeria to regain her economic independence. The report suggests that competent leadership and efficient management of Nigeria's enormous economic resources be fostered, and more specifically that corruption be combated with all available means because, as long as corruption exists, the country will be unable to free itself from dependency.

All forms of neo-colonialism should be avoided, including foreign grants and aid as well as programmes and policies that have a global focus. A country's ability to rely on itself is undermined, according to Nyerere of Tanzania. International capitalists, in Walter Rodney's opinion, are responsible for Africa's underdevelopment.

References

- *** (2021, September 14). *2021 Resource Governance Index: Nigeria (Oil and Gas)*. Natural Resource Governance Institute. Retrieved from <https://resourcegovernance.org/publications/2021-resource-governance-index-nigeria-oil-and-gas>.
- *** (2021, September 22). *Petroleum Industry Act - A New Era for the Nigerian Oil and Gas Upstream Industry*. Vanguard.
- Adele, J., Adeniran, A., & Obi, C. (2017). *Nigeria's political economy and the challenge of democratic governance*. Palgrave Macmillan.
- Adeleke, R. F. & Aliyu, Y. (2022). Leadership, oil and resource curse: Implication for sustainable development in Nigeria. *African Journal of Political Science and International Relations*, 16(1), 12-25.
- Auty, R. M. (2001). The political economy of resource-driven growth. *European Economic Review*, 45(4-6), 839-846.
- Collier, P. & Hoeffler, A. (2004). Greed and grievance in civil war. *Oxford Economic Papers*, 56(4), 563-595.
- Coxon, A. P. (2005). Can I count on you? Validating qualitative research. *Journal of Teaching in Physical Education*, 24(2), 169-184.
- Creswell, J. W. (2007). *Qualitative inquiry and research design: Choosing among five approaches*, 2nd ed. Sage Publications.
- Ezeani, E. O. (2018). Oil and gas law: An overview of the Petroleum Industry Bill 2012. *Journal of African Law*, 62(2), 279-293.
- Ghuari, P. K. & Gronhaug, K. (2005). *Research methods in business studies: A practical guide*, 3rd ed. Prentice Hall.
- Idemudia, U. (2013). Oil extraction and poverty reduction in the Niger Delta: A critical examination of partnership initiatives. *Development Policy Review*, 31(1), 93-112.
- Karl, T. L. (1997). *The paradox of plenty: Oil booms and petro-states*. University of California Press.
- Mbaku, J. M. (2008). *Corruption in Africa: Causes, consequences, and cleanups*. Lexington Books.
- Nsirim-Worlu, H. G. (2019, April 15). *Gender analysis of oil and gas governance in Nigeria: Nigeria Natural Resources Charter Precepts V and VI*. SSRN. Retrieved from https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/Delivery.cfm/SSRN_ID3366851_code1786342.pdf?abstractid=3366851&mirid=1.
- Nwoke, U. (2021). (In)Effective business responsibility engagements in areas of limited statehood: Nigeria's oil sector as a case study. *Business & Society*, 60(1), 54-91.
- Nwuke, K. (2021, November 24). *Nigeria's Petroleum Industry Act: Addressing old problems, creating new ones*. Brookings. Retrieved from <https://www.brookings.edu/articles/nigerias-petroleum-industry-act-addressing-old-problems-creating-new-ones/>.

Obi, C. (2011). The changing face of land and conservation in post-colonial Africa: Assessing the impact of oil and armed conflict on the environment and rural livelihoods in the Niger Delta, Nigeria. *Journal of Sustainable Development in Africa*, 13(3), 214-231.