Vol 18, No 4, 2022



Is Financial Development a Determinant of Tourism? A Case of Selected Emerging Markets

Kunofiwa Tsaurai¹

Abstract: This paper examined the influence of financial development on tourism growth in selected emerging markets with the aid of panel data (2001-2019). The influence of the combination between financial and development of human capital on tourism growth in selected emerging markets was also investigated. Fully modified ordinary least squares (FMOLS), fixed effects, pooled ordinary least squares (OLS) and the random effects were the econometric estimation methods employed in this study. In a nutshell, factors such as financial sector development, foreign direct investment, trade openness, development of human capital and economic growth and the complementarity variable had a significant positive influence on tourism growth in selected emerging markets. To enhance tourism growth, selected emerging markets are urged to design and implement policies and programmes earmarked at increasing financial development, foreign direct investment, trade openness, economic growth and development of human capital. Threshold panel regression analysis could be another avenue through which the influence of financial development on tourism is examined in future.

Keywords: Emerging markets; Financial Development; Tourism; Panel Data

JEL Classification: G15; P2; Z3

1. Introduction

Rasool et al (2021) noted that tourism has become one of the most prominent sectors that contributes to the overall rapid growth in economic growth and exports in the world. Their study argued that tourism brings foreign currency, helps in the marketing of the country's most important features and hence improving the image

¹ Professor, Department of Finance, Risk Management and Banking, University of South Africa, Address: P.O. Box 392, UNISA 0003, Pretoria, South Africa, Corresponding author: tsaurk@unisa.ac.za.

of the country. According to Blake et al (2006), inbound tourism was also found to stimulate infrastructural investment, competition, human capital development, income and employment creation. Other recent authors agreed with the tourism led growth hypothesis (Banday & Ismail. 2017; Brida et. al. 2016; Dhungel, 2015). The positive influence of tourism on the growth of the economy cannot be disputed any longer.

What is more important according to Malec and Abrham (2016) is to understand the determinants of tourism growth to develop and implement policies that are geared at promoting tourism led economic growth. There are few empirical researchers available on the determinants of tourism growth and these are not limited to Xie (2020), Malec and Abrham (2016), Montes-Rojas and Barroso (2020), Melese and Belda (2021), Nyasha and Odhiambo (2021), Tavares (2020), John and Nazif (2021), Permatasari and Esquivias (2020), Gidebo (2021), Permatasari and Esquivias (2020), Pervan and Juric (2021), Puah et al (2019), Panahi and Nasibparast (2018) and Adeola et al (2018).

One of the major and prominent determinants of tourism according to literature (Khanna & Sharma. 2020; Gao et. al. 2022; Habib et. al. 2019; Al-Mulali et. al. 2020; Wada. 2021; Jamel. 2020) is financial development. Although theoretical literature emphatically noted that financial development enhances tourism, empirical research on the subject matter produced results which are conflicting, mixed, divergent and far from producing conclusive findings. The empirical research is also characterized by several methodological limitations. For example, they ignored that tourism is affected by its own lag, the endogeneity problem characterizing the tourism function and used outdated data in most cases. None of the available empirical research on the influence of financial development on tourism exclusively focused on emerging markets. To author's knowledge, the existing literature has not yet examined the channels through which tourism growth is influenced by the financial sector. Majority wrongly assumed that the tourism growth function is in a form of a straight line. This study filled in all these gaps.

Section 2 describes the determinants of tourism from an empirical angle, Section 3 focuses on the theoretical literature on the effect of financial development on tourism whilst Section 4 focus on the empirical literature regarding the influence of financial development on tourism. Section 5 presents and describes financial development and tourism growth trends for selected emerging markets. Research methodological framework is described in Section 6. Section 7 focuses on the pre-estimation diagnostics. Analysis of data and results description and interpretation is done in Section 8. Section 9 is the conclusion.

2. Determinants of Tourism from an Empirical Angle

Table 1. Determinants of tourism – Empirical focus

Publisher	Countr	Time	Econometri	Findings
	y/Coun	frame	с	
	tries of study		approaches	
Xie	Norwe	2005-	Time series	Growth of the economy had a
(2020)	У	2018	data	significant positive impact on economic
Malas	E	2005	analysis	growth in Norwey.
Malec	Europe	2005-	Panel data	own lag
Abrham	countri	2015	anarysis	own nag.
(2016)	es			
Assaf and	World	2005-	Data	Crime rate, hotel price index, visa
Josiassen	econom	2008	envelopme	requirement, unemployment rate, fuel
(2012)	у		nt analysis	price level, carbon emissions per capita,
				corruption index and ticket price are the
				tourism growth. In contrast factors
				which had a positive impact on tourism
				growth include good attitude towards
				foreign visitors, improved economic
				growth, number of airlines operating,
				airlines' quality of service provision,
				number of top quality hotels, education,
				development
Montes-	Sao	2000-	Random	Exports of the host country and issuing
Rojas and	Tome	2016	effects	country were found to be positively
Barroso	and		panel data	related to tourism. Countries
(2020)	Princip		analysis	characterised by high economic growth
	e			and high flight connectivity were found
Malaaa	Caretha	Comment	Maaltina amaa	to attract more tourists.
and Belda	Southe	Surve v data	sion	effect on tourism in Southeast Ethionia
(2021)	Ethiopi	y uata	regression	An improved brand image enhanced
(=)	a		analysis	tourism in Southeast Ethiopia. Current
			5	market research and development had a
				positive impact on tourism in Southeast
				Ethiopia.
Nyasha	Three	1995-	Autoregress	Financial development, political
anu Odhiamb	ing	2018	Ive Distributive	trade openness were found to have
0(2021)	countri		Lag	positively influenced tourism growth
	es		(ARDL)	and development. Price level, exchange

ISSN: 2065-0175

ŒCONOMICA

				rate and carbon emissions are the three factors that had a deleterious influence on tourism growth.
Snieska et al (2014)	Lithuan ia	2004- 2012	Descriptive statistics	Foreign direct investment, economic growth, gross monthly earnings, revenue and government expenditure were the factors which were found to have enhanced rural tourism.
Tavares (2020)	Europe an countri es	2000- 2018	Generalized Methods of Moments	Tourism growth was positively affected by its own lag and economic growth. Financial and economic crises had a deleterious effect on tourism growth in European countries.
John and Nazif (2021)	Sub- Sahara n African countri es	1995- 2018	System Generalized Methods of Moments	Internet usage was found to have spurred tourism growth in Sub-Saharan African countries.
Seetanah et al (2011)	Mauriti us	1985- 2006	Multiregres sion analysis	Infrastructural development's impact on tourism growth was found to be positive.
Permatas ari and Esquivias (2020)	Indones ia	2000- 2014	Descriptive statistics	Longer distance had a negative effect on tourism growth whilst factors such as per capita income of tourists, available hotel rooms and relative prices had a positive impact on tourism in the case of Indonesia.
Ayyappa n et al (2014)	India	1995- 2010	Descriptive statistics	Relative prices, income of tourists, distance and tourism infrastructure were found to be the factors which positively affected tourism growth India.
Gidebo (2021)	Literatu re review analysi s	Litera ture revie w analys is	Literature review analysis	Economic growth, infrastructural development, depreciated currency, cheaper accommodation costs, relative prices, high quality of hotel infrastructure are some of the factors which were found to enhance tourism growth in the tourism receiving country.
Bentum- Ennin (2019)	Ghana	1985- 2010	Descriptive statistics	Depending political rights and civil liberties enhanced international tourist arrivals.

Vol 18, No 4, 2022

Marti and Puertas (2017)	Europe an Mediter ranean countri es	2009- 2013	Multiregres sion analysis	Infrastructural development, natural resources availability, price competitiveness, international openness, development of human capital, information and communication technology were found to be some of the factors that enhanced tourist arrivals into European Mediterranean countries.
Pervan and Juric (2021)	Croatia	2012- 2019	Descriptive statistics	Lag of tourism demand, relative price, foreign direct investment, income per tourist, low terrorism and corruption were found to have attracted tourist arrivals into Croatia.
Tsangari (2012)	Cyprus	1995- 2010	Time series regression analysis	High economic growth, income of origin countries, political and financial stability are some of the factors that attract tourists into Cyprus.
Puah et al (2019)	Sarawa k	2010- 2016	ARDL	Income level's influence on tourism in Sarawak was positive and significant. The lag of tourism was observed to have positive effect on tourism demand in Sarawak.
Adeola et al (2018)	Africa	1995- 2015	Panel data analysis	Travel cost and domestic prices were found to be insignificant factors which influenced tourism growth in Africa. High levels of infrastructural development, economic growth, political stability, foreign direct investment, real exchange rate and trade openness had an enhanced tourism growth in Africa.
Panahi and Nasibpara st (2018)	Develo ping countri es	1995- 2012	Bayesian model averaging approach	High population of the destination country (market size), economic growth (an indication of development level) were found to have had a significant positive impact on tourism growth in developing countries.

Source: Author compilation

It is quite clear from Table 1 that financial development is one of the determinants of tourism from an existing empirical literature point of view. What is also undoubtful is that there is no agreeable list of factors that influence tourism because the results from the empirical literature are conflicting, diverse and mixed. The implication is that there is still a lot of room to contribute towards literature on the topic on tourism and financial development.

3. Impact of financial development on tourism – Theoretical literature

According to Croes and Vanegas (2008), developed financial sector in the tourists receiving nation enables the tourists to comfortably enjoy their holiday accessing financial, banking and investment services. A developed financial sector helps the tourists to actively trade in the domestic and international financial markets whilst they are still enjoying their holiday (Croes and Vanegas. 2008). Hall and Williams (2008) argued that tourism firms can easily engage in innovative activities that attracts more tourists if they secure funding from stock markets and banks.

Franks and Mayer (1990) noted that tourism firms can only grow if strict corporate governance practices are followed across the whole sector. They further argued that this can only be achieved if the financial system is well regulated in a manner that brings efficiency in the tourism industry. Williams and Balaz (2015) observed that tourism firms operate in a very risky, and quite sensitive economic sectors that can respond to the slightest changes in environments, political, economic and geopolitical issues. A well-developed financial sector enables the tourism firms to diversify their risk through, hedging and insurance products usage.

The ability of the financial sector to pool savings, direct investments and capital allocation in the economy generally improvs the investment climate in the country hence attracting foreign direct investment, foreign portfolio investment and even foreign students enrolling in higher learning institutions (Cooray. 2010). Such an environment is quintessential in enhancing entrepreneurship in the tourism industry. Entrepreneurship in the tourism industry is boosted also by the availability of different and many sources of finance rather than the exclusive use of leverage, argued Chen (2010). According to Hur et al (2006), industries which have more international exposure such as tourism sector suffer the most in an environment characterised by low financial sector development as that thwart cross border transactions.

Gounopoulos et al (2012) argued that domestic credit availability in the economy give some semblance of economic stability, enhances business confidence, show a political climate that is stable, improves the general confidence of consumer hence pushing up the demand for tourism products.

4. Impact of Financial Development on Tourism – Empirical Literature

Table 2. Influence of financial development on tourism–Empirical literature review

Publisher	Unit of	Time	Econometric	Results
	analysis	frame	approaches	
Khanna	207	1995-	Panel data	Developed financial markets attracted
and	countries	2018	analysis	more tourists across all the countries
Sharma				studied.
(2020)	x	10.00		
Ohlan	India	1960-	Autoregressi	A bi-directional causality relationship
		2014	ve Distributivo	tourism was observed
			Lag	tourism was observed.
Teaurai	Southern	1995-	Pooled	The complementarity between tourism
(2018)	African	2014	ordinary	and financial development enhanced
(2010)	countries	2011	least	economic growth in the Southern
			squares,	African countries studied.
			random	
			effects and	
			fixed effects	
Rasool et	BRICS	1995-	Panel data	A co-integrating relationship between
al (2021)		2015	analysis	tourism, financial development and
	D 1 1	2010	9	economic growth was noted.
Gao et al	Developin	2019	Cross	Tourism development in China was
(2022)	g and	and	sectional	enhanced by financial inclusion
	countries	2020 cross	data analysis	growin.
	countries	section		
		al data		
Ibrahim	India	Primar	Descriptive	Financial institutions played a huge
(2021)		y data	statistics	role in enabling the tourism firms to
		•		champion asset creation and begin
				new business venture.
Habib et	Banglades	1995-	Vector Error	Provision of more credit to boost
al (2019)	h	2016	Correction	infrastructural development end up
			Model	enhancing the tourism industry in
		1005	D	Bangladesh.
Yenisehi	Middle	1995-	Panel data	A neutrality hypothesis was confirmed
riiogiu	East and	2016	analysis	in as far as the relationship between
anu Dovot	African			development in the MENA region is
Dayat (2010)	(MENA)			concerned
(2019)	ountries			concerned.

ISSN: 2065-0175

(ECONOMICA

Ehigiam	African	1995-	Error	A bi-directional causality relationship
usoe	countries	2016	Correction	was observed between financial
(2021)			Model	development and tourism.
Al-	Тор	1995-	Panel data	In majority countries, financial
Mulali et	tourist	2017	analysis	development had a significant positive
al (2020)	destinatio		-	effect on tourism development.
	n			
	countries			
Jamel	Saudi	1990-	Vector	Both financial development and
(2020)	Arabia	2018	Autoregressi	carbon emissions were found to have
			ve model	attracted tourists into Saudi Arabia.
Wada	MENA	2012-	ARDL	Financial development and
(2021)		2018		international tourist arrivals were
				found to vary directly.
Nesti	Selected	1995-	Panel data	A long run relationship between
(2018)	ASEAN	2016	analysis	financial development, tourism and
	countries			economic growth was observed.

Source: Author

Empirical research results coming from Table 2 shows that the influence of financial development on tourism growth is fourfold. Firstly, financial development enhances tourism. Secondly, financial development's influence on tourism is very negligent. Thirdly, there is a feedback relationship between financial development and tourism. Fourthly, other factors must be available in the tourists receiving country for financial development's influence on tourism to be positive and significant. These results are mixed, divergent and conflicting, therefore still void of the satisfactory answer as to the impact of financial development on tourism.

5. Financial Development and Tourism Growth Trends for Selected Emerging Markets



Figure 1. Domestic Credit to Private Sector (% of GDP) Trends for Selected Emerging Markets Source: Author

For Argentina, financial development increased from 12.42% of GDP in 2001 to 19.85% of GDP in 2007, declined by 10.23 percentage points during the period between 2007 and 2013 before further marginally declining by 0.90 percentage points, from 9.62% of GDP in 2013 to 8.72% of GDP. Financial development for Brazil massively went up by 64.78 percentage points during the period from 33.26% of GDP in 2001 to 98.04% of GDP in 2007, declined by 56.77 percentage points during the subsequent five-year period before going up by 21.96 percentage points during the period between 2013 to 2019.

During the period between 2001 and 2007, China's financial development increased by a massive 96.50 percentage points (from 29.65% of GDP in 2001 to 126.15% of GDP in 2007), went down by 84.89 percentage points during the subsequent six-year period (2007-2013) before increasing by 18.37 percentage points during the six-year period to end the year 2019 at 59.63% of GDP. Between 2001 and 2007, Mexico's financial development went up by 21.10 percentage points, increased by 3.49 percentage points during the subsequent six-year period, from 37.78% of GDP in 2007 to 41.27% of GDP in 2013 before plummeting by 8.69 percentage points during the period ranging from 2013 to 2019 (from 41.27% of GDP in 2013 to 32.58% of GDP in 2019).

For Peru, the period between 2001 and 2007 saw financial development going up from 18.82% of GDP to 67.91% of GDP. Financial development then declined by 27.66 percentage points during the period between 2007 and 2013 before going up by 3.06 percentage points during the subsequent six-year period, from 40.25% of GDP in 2013 to 43.32% of GDP in 2019. Thailand's financial development consistently increased during the nineteen-year period ranging from 2001 to 2019. Financial development went up from 29.88% of GDP in 2001 to 74.97% of GDP in 2007, went up by 9.34 percentage points during the subsequent six-year period (2007-2013) before further going up by 20.28 percentage points, from 84.31% of GDP in 2013 to 104.59% of GDP in 2019.

As for Turkey, financial development increased by 17.78 percentage points, from 23.98% of GDP in 2001 to 41.76% of GDP in 2007. Between 2007 and 2013, Turkey's financial development declined by 21.32 percentage points before going up by 3.87 percentage points during the subsequent six-year period, from 20.44% of GDP in 2013 to 24.31% of GDP in 2019.



Figure 2. Number of International Tourist Arrivals Trends for Selected Emerging Markets

Argentina's number of international tourist arrivals went up from 123 000 people in 2001 to 511 000 people in 2007, went up by 112% during the period between 2007 and 2013 before further going up by 75% during the subsequent six-year period, from 1 084 000 people in 2013 to 1 894 000 people in 2019. Brazil's tourism increased from 4 773 000 people in 2001 to 5 026 000 people in 2007, massively went up by 16% during the subsequent six-year period during the period between 2007 and 2013 before further going up from 5 813 000 people in 2013 to 6 353 000 people in 2019.

China's tourism massively went up from 89 013 000 people in 2001 to 131 873 000 people in 2007, declined by 2% during the period between 2007 to 2013 before increasing by 26% during the subsequent six-year period, from 129 078 000 people in 2013 to 162 538 000 people in 2019. Mexico's tourism declined from 100 718 000 people in 2001 to 93 582 000 people in 2007 before further plummeting by a massive 17% during the period between 2007 and 2013. The period between 2013 and 2019 saw Mexico's tourism jumping by a massive 25%, from 78 100 000 people in 2013 to 97 406 000 people in 2019.

Regarding Peru's tourism, it went up from 1 374 900 people in 2001 to 2 451 000 people in 2007, increased by 64% during the period between 2007 and 2013 before surging by 32% during the subsequent six-year period, from 4 010 000 people in

2013 to 5 275 000 people in 2019. For Thailand, its tourism increased by 43%, from 10 133 000 people in 2001 to 14 464 000 people in 2007 before going up from 14 464 000 people in 2007 to 26 547 000 people in 2013. The period between 2013 and 2019 saw Thailand's tourism going up by 50%, from 26 547 000 people in 2013 to 39 916 000 people in 2019. Turkey's tourism figures massively went up by 134%, from 11 619 000 people in 2001 to 27 215 000 people in 2007 and then increased by 46% during the subsequent period ranging from 2007 and 2013. Turkey's tourism figures then further went up by 30%, from 39 861 000 people in 2013 to 51 747 000 people in 2019.

6. Research Methodological Framework

The impact of financial development on tourism growth are summarized in the form of equation 1 (tourism growth function).

TOURISM=f (FIN, HCD, OPEN, CARBON, GROWTH, PG, FDI) (1)

TOURISM stands for tourism growth, FIN is financial development whilst HCD stands for human capital development. OPEN, CARBON, GROWTH, PG and FDI represents trade openness, carbon emissions, population growth, economic growth and foreign direct investment respectively. The choice of the independent variables included in the tourism growth function was informed by the availability of data and similar empirical research on this topic. These prior empirical researches that determined the choice of independent variables in the tourism function include Panahi and Nasibparast (2018), Adeola et al (2018), Puah et al (2019), Tsangari (2012), Pervan and Juric (2021), Marti and Puertas (2017), Bentum-Ennin (2019), Gidebo (2021), Ayyappan et al (2014), Permatasari and Esquivias (2020), Seetanah et al (2011), John and Nazif (2021), Tavares (2020), Nyasha and Odhiambo (2021) and Snieska et al (2014).

When the tourism growth function is converted into an econometric format, equation 1 becomes equation 2.

 $TOURISM_{it} = \beta_0 + \beta_1$ $FIN_{it} + \beta_2 HCD_{it} + \beta_3 (FIN_{it} + HCD_{it}) + \beta_4 OPEN_{it} + \beta_5 CARBON_{it} + \beta_6 GROWTH_{it}$ $+ \beta_7 PG_{it} + \beta_7 FDI_{it} + \mu + \epsilon$ (2)

The intercept is represented by $\beta_0 \cdot \beta_1$ to β_7 are co-efficients of the respective independent variables such as financial development, trade openness and development of human capital, trade openness, carbon emissions, economic growth, population growth and foreign direct investment. Equation 2 introduced the complementarity between financial and development of human capital $[\beta_3(\text{FIN}_{it}\text{HCD}_{it})]$ as one of the independent variables of tourism growth. The introduction of the complementarity variable is consistent with Assaf and Josiassen (2012) whose study noted that educated, skilled and highly knowledgeable personnel coupled with the availability of developed, flexible and deep financial markets creates a favourable environment that attracts tourists. This aspect investigates if the development of human capital is a channel through which financial development influences tourism in selected emerging markets. Fixed effects fully modified ordinary least squares (FMOLS), random effects and pooled OLS were the four econometric estimation techniques used to estimate equation 2.

This study used panel data (2001-2019) to examine the influence of financial development on tourism growth in selected emerging markets. Argentina, China, Peru, Turkey, Brazil, Mexico and Thailand are the selected markets included in this study. The secondary panel data was obtained from the World Development Indicators.

Variables	Measures	Theoretical rationale	Expected
	used		relationship
Development of the financial sector (FIN)	Domestic credit to private	Consistent with Nyasha and Odhiambo (2021), tourists are more concerned with daily transactional	+
	sector (% of GDP)	nature of the banking sector that is why this study used domestic credit to private sector ratio. Their study	
		noted that a developed banking sector is more likely to enable tourists to easily transact daily.	
Growth of the economy (GROWTH)	Gross domestic product per capita	High economic growth in the tourists destination country is an indication of the prevalence of enhanced development level. The latter attracts tourists as they can easily enjoy quality time where they can easily access top range services during their period of stay (Panahi and Nasibparast. 2018).	+
Trade openness (OPEN)	Trade (% of GDP)	Tourism as a form of trade in the services is positively sensitive to high levels of open markets, an argument which was supported by Pedak (2018).	+

Table 3. Control Variables of the Tourism Growth Function - Theoretical Rationales

Vol 18, No 4, 2022

Emissions of	Carbon	According to Kaufmann et al	-
carbon dioxide	dioxide	(2006), tourists are more concerned	
(CARBON)	emissions	about their health, safety and	
	in metric	security when choosing a tourist	
	tons per	destination country. High levels of	
	capita	carbon dioxide emissions make the	
	1	potential tourist destination country	
		less attractive.	
Development of	Human	According to Assaf and Josiassen	+
human capital	capital	(2012), high levels of human capital	
(HCD)	developm	development in the destination	
	ent index	country attracts more tourists	
		because educated and skilled	
		personnel are better able to offer	
		high quality services to the tourists.	
		These high-quality services include	
		financial, tourists guide, research.	
		food preparation services, all of	
		which makes the tourists more	
		comfortable to stay longer in their	
		destination country.	
Population	Populatio	Panahi and Nasibparast (2018)	+/-
growth (PG)	n growth	argued that high levels of	.,
8	(annual	population in the tourist receiving	
	%)	country attracts more tourists. They	
	,	noted this high level of population	
		enhances the size of the market of	
		the tourist receiving country hence	
		positively influencing tourism.	
Direct	Net	Boora and Dhankar (2017) observed	+
investment from	foreign	that tourism follows foreign direct	
foreign countries	direct	investment. For tourists, the flow of	
(FDI)	investmen	foreign direct investment into a	
	t (% of	country is a sign that the financial	
	GDP)	sector is developed, infrastructure is	
		developed, trade openness is high.	
		Their study argued that the factors	
		which attracts foreign direct	
		investment are like the ones that	
		attracts tourists into a country.	

Source: Author Compilation

7. Pre-Estimation Diagnostics

Table 4 (appendix section) shows that there is a multicollinearity problem, in line with Stead (1996) because the correlation between tourism and carbon emissions exceeded 70%. Table 5 (appendix section) indicates that most of the variables are not normally distributed because the probability of the Jarque-Bera criterion is zero or almost zero. The range for tourism, financial development, growth of the economy and trade openness indicates that outliers exist. Standard deviation (greater than 100) for tourism and economic growth shows there exist outliers. All variables in the model were skewed to the right, a sign that the data is not normally distributed.

Level				
	LLC	IPS	ADF	PP
TOURISM	-0.85**	-0.35	5 -0.17 -0.32*	
FIN	-4.61***	-2.46***	27.30**	36.61***
HCD	-5.44***	-4.59***	46.38***	55.34***
OPEN	-0.77	0.003	12.20	15.09
CARBON	-5.25***	-2.23**	29.96***	28.93**
GROWTH	-1.70***	-0.90***	31.70***	22.73*
PG	-0.20	-0.44	27.15**	14.92
FDI	-1.39*	-1.68**	25.57**	49.78***
First difference				
TOURISM	-2.14***	-1.89***	-1.43**	-3.62***
FIN	-8.65***	-7.72***	77.57***	185.82***
HCD	-6.80***	-5.01***	50.54***	89.22***
OPEN	-4.11***	-4.49***	45.51***	88.75***
CARBON	-3.12***	-3.04***	32.96***	54.26***
GROWTH	-3.83***	-2.71***	22.11*	53.54***
PG	-1.32**	-1.53*	21.82*	53.09***
FDI	-7.31***	-8.93***	89.44***	645.67***

8. Main Data Analysis, Results Description and Interpretation

 Table 6. Panel Stationarity Tests Using Individual Intercept

Source: E-Views

*, ** and *** stands for 10%, 5% and 1% significance levels respectively.

Where LLC, ADF, IPS and PP stands for Levin et al (2002), Augmented Dick and Fuller Fisher Chi Square, Im et al (2003) and Phillip Peron (PP) respectively. Table 6 produced results which indicates that variables were stationary or significant at first difference, hence paving way for data analysis (panel-cointegration tests), in line with Tembo (2018).

Kao (1999)'s method was employed to estimate whether there is a relationship (long run) between the used variables.

Vol 18, No 4, 2022

Series	ADF t-statistic
TOURISM FIN HCD OPEN CARBON GROWTH PG FDI	-2.1054**
Source: Author Compilation	

Table 7. Kao (1999) Results

The results presented in Table 7 shows that the variables used are defined by a long run relationship at five percent significance level, consistent with Tembo (2018)'s interpretation. The results cleared way for final data analysis to be undertaken.

	Fixed effects	FMOLS	Random	Pooled
			effects	OLS
FIN	0.41**	0.65***	0.04	1.69***
HCD	0.67	1.99	1.62	1.99***
FINHCD	0.14***	0.49***	0.86	1.89***
OPEN	0.71	0.74**	0.19***	0.50*
CARBON	-0.12	-0.38	-0.66	-1.73***
GROWTH	0.84***	0.78***	1.21***	0.15
PG	-0.38*	-0.62**	-0.27	1.08***
FDI	0.05	0.04***	0.04	0.61**
Adjusted R-squared	0.67	0.61	0.59	0.64
J-statistic	115.98	29.21	43.97	33.17
Prob(J/F-statistic)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

Table 8. Main Data Analysis Results

Source: E-Views

Fixed effects, FMOLS and pooled OLS shows that financial development had a significant positive influence on tourism growth whilst random effects produced results which shows a non-significant positive correlation running from financial development towards tourism growth. These results resonate with Nyasha and Odhiambo (2021) whose study noted that tourists are more concerned with daily transactional nature of the banking sector that is why this study used domestic credit to private sector ratio.

Development of human capital's influence on tourism growth was non-significant positive under fixed effects, FMOLS and random effects whilst pooled OLS shows that human capital development's effect of tourism was positive and significant. The results resonate with Assaf and Josiassen (2012) whose study noted that developed human capital in the destination country attracts more tourists because educated and skilled personnel are better able to offer high quality services to the tourists.

The complementarity between financial and development of human capital had a significant positive effect on tourism growth (fixed effects, FMOLS, pooled OLS). Random effects show that the combination between financial and development of human capital's influence on tourism growth was positive (non-significant). These results mean that the complementarity variable (financial and development of human

capital) enhanced tourism growth, consistent with Assaf and Josiassen (2012) whose findings implied that high levels of development of human capital in the destination country attracts more tourists because educated and skilled personnel are better able to offer high quality financial services to the tourists.

FMOLS, pooled OLS and random effects noted that trade openness's influence on tourism growth was positive and significant whilst fixed effects shows that trade openness had a non-significant positive effect on tourism growth. These results resonate with Pedak (2018) whose study observed that tourism as a form of trade in the services is positively sensitive to high levels of open markets.

Carbon emissions' influence on tourism growth was observed to be negative but nonsignificant (fixed effects, FMOLS and random effects) yet a significant negative relationship from carbon emissions towards tourism growth was noted using the pooled OLS. These results resonate with Kaufmann et al (2006) whose study says that tourists are more concerned about their health, safety and security when choosing a tourist destination country.

Economic growth's effect on tourism growth was observed to be positive and significant under the FMOLS, random and fixed effects whilst pooled OLS indicates a significant positive relationship from economic growth towards tourism growth. The results agree with Panahi and Nasibparast (2018) which argued that economic growth attracts tourists as they can easily enjoy quality time where they can easily access top range services during their period of stay.

Pooled OLS indicates that population growth's effect on tourism growth was observed to be significant positive, in line with Panahi and Nasibparast (2018) whose study argued that high level of population enhances the market size of the tourist receiving country hence positively influencing tourism. Population growth was observed to have a significant negative influence on tourism growth (fixed effects, FMOLS) yet the random effects shows a non-significant negative relationship from population growth towards tourism growth, in contradiction with available literature.

FMOLS and pooled OLS indicates that foreign direct investment had a significant positive influence on tourism growth. Fixed and random effects show a non-significant positive correlation running towards tourism growth from foreign direct investment. These two set of results are consistent with literature which says that tourism follows foreign direct investment (Boora and Dhankar. 2017).

9. Conclusion

This paper examined the influence of financial development on tourism growth in selected emerging markets with the aid of panel data (2001-2019). The influence of the combination between financial and development of human capital on tourism growth in selected emerging markets was also investigated. In a nutshell, factors such as financial sector development, foreign direct investment, trade openness, development of human capital and economic growth and the complementarity variable had a significant positive influence on tourism growth in selected emerging markets. To enhance tourism growth, selected emerging markets are urged to design and implement policies and programmes earmarked at increasing financial development, foreign direct investment, trade openness, economic growth and the and the unit is examined in future.

References

Adeola, O.; Boso, N. & Olaniyi, E. (2018). Drivers of international tourism demand in Africa. *Business Economics*, 53 (1), pp. 25-36.

Al-Mulali, U.; Solarin, S.A. & Gholipour, H.F. (2020). Relationship between financial development and inbound tourism: A revisit, *Journal of Public Affairs*, 21 (3), pp. 1-5.

Assaf, A.G. & Josiassen, A. (2012). Identifying and ranking the determinants of tourism performance: A global investigation. *Journal of Travel Research*, 51 (4), pp. 388-399.

Aye, G.C. & Edoja, P.E. (2017). Effect of economic growth on CO2 emission in developing countries: Evidence from a dynamic panel threshold regression model. *General and Applied Economics*, https://doi.org/10.1080/23322039.2017.1379239.

Ayyappan, S.V.; Kumar, S. & Jose, J. (2014). Infrastructural determinants of tourism in India, *Atna Journal of Tourism Studies*, 9 (1), pp. 63-73.

Banday, U.J. & Ismail, S. (2017). Does tourism development lead positive or negative impact on economic growth and environment in BRICS countries? A panel data analysis. *Economics Bulletin*, 37(1), pp. 553–567

Bentum-Ennin (2019). Determinants and economic impact of international tourist arrivals in Ghana, *African Economic Research Consortium Policy Brief Number 580.*

Blake A, Sinclair MT, Soria JAC (2006). Tourism productivity: evidence from the United Kingdom. *Annals of Tourism Research*, 33(4), pp. 1099–1120

Boora, S.S. & Dhankar, S. (2017). Foreign direct investment and its impact upon the Indian hospitality industry. *African Journal of Hospitality, Tourism and Leisure*, 6 (1), pp. 1-17.

Brida, J.G.; Cortes-Jimenez, I. & Pulina, M. (2016). Has the tourism-led growth hypothesis been validated? A literature review. *Current Issues Tourism*, 19(5), pp. 394–430

Chen, H. (2010). Macroeconomic conditions and the puzzles of credit spreads and capital structure. *Journal of Finance*, 65(6), pp. 2171-2212.

Cooray, A. (2010). Do stock markets lead to economic growth? *Journal of Policy Modeling*, 32(4), pp. 448-460.

Croes, E. & Vanegas, S.M. (2008). Co-integration and causality between tourism and poverty reduction. *Journal of Travel Research*, 47 (1), pp. 94-103.

Dhungel, K.R. (2015). An econometric analysis on the relationship between tourism and economic growth: empirical evidence from Nepal. *International Journal of Economics and Financial Management*, 3(2), pp. 84–90.

Ehigiamusoe, K.U. (2021). The nexus between tourism, financial development and economic growth: Evidence from African countries. *African Development Review*, 33 (2), pp. 382-396.

Franks, J. & Mayer, C. (1990). Capital markets and corporate control: A study of France, Germany and the UK. *Economic Policy*, 5(10), pp. 189-231

Gao, Q.; Liu, Y. ;Ayub, B. & Hussain, M. (2022). Does Health Crises Effect Tourism: Role of Financial Inclusion for Green Financial Development. *Front. Public Health* 10:896894. Doi: 10.3389/fpubh.2022.896894

Gidebo, H.B. (2021). Factors determining international tourist flow to tourism destinations: A systemic review. *Journal of Hospitality Management and Tourism*, 12 (1), pp. 9-17.

Gounopoulos, D.; Petmezas, D. & Santamaria, D. (2012). Forecasting tourist arrivals in Greece and the impact of macroeconomic shocks from the countries of tourists' origin. *Annals of Tourism Research*, 39(2), pp. 641-666.

Habib, S.S.; Sharif, M.S. & Hossain, M.A. (2019). Nexus between economic growth, tourism revenue and financial development in Bangladesh: A time series analysis. *Business and Economic Research*, 9 (3), pp. 134-149.

Hall, C. M. & Williams, A. (2008). Tourism and innovation. Routledge.

Hur, J.; Raj, M. & Riyanto, Y. E. (2006). Finance and trade: A cross-country empirical analysis on the impact of financial development and asset tangibility on international trade. *World Development*, 34(10), pp. 1728-1741.

Ibrahim, P.A. (2021). Exploring tourism opportunities: Role of financial institutions in the promotion of tourism entrepreneurship in Kerala. ASEAN Journal on Hospitality and Tourism, 19 (2), pp. 86-100.

Im, K.S.; Pesaran, M. H. & Shin, Y. (2003). Testing unit roots in heterogeneous panels. *Journal of Econometrics*, 115 (1), pp. 53-74.

Jamel, L. (2020). The relation between tourism and economic growth: A case of Saudi Arabia as an emerging tourism destination. *Virtual Economics*, 3 (4), pp. 29-47.

John, K. & Nazif, D. (2021). Determinants of intra-SSA tourism demand. *Munich Personal RePEc Archive (MPRA) Paper Number 106492*.

Kao, C. (1999). Spurious regression and residual-based tests for co-integration in panel data. *Journal of Econometrics*, 90, pp. 247-259.

Kaufmann, D.; Kraay, A. & Mastruzzi M. (2006). Governance Matters VI: Aggregate and Individual Governance Indicators 1996-2006. *Policy Research Working Paper* No. 4280. World Bank, Washington: DC.

Khanna, R. & Sharma, C. (2020). Does financial development raise tourism demand? A cross-country panel evidence. *Journal of hospitality and Tourism Research*, 20 (10), pp. 1-31.

Liu, J.; Wang, M.; Yang, L.; Rahman, S. & Sriboonchitta, S. (2020). Agricultural productivity growth and its determinants in South and Southeast Asian countries, *Sustainability*, 12 (2020), pp. 1-21.

Levin, A.; Lin, C.F. & Chu, C.S.J. (2002). Unit root tests in panel data: Asymptotic and finite-sample properties. *Journal of Econometrics*, 108 (1), pp. 1-24.

Malec, L. & Abrham, J. (2016). Determinants of tourism industry in selected European countries: A smooth partial least squares approach. *Economic Research*, 29 (1), pp. 66-84.

Marti, L. and Puertas, R. (2017). Determinants of tourist arrivals in European Mediterranean countries: Analysis of competitiveness, *European Journal of Tourism Research*, 15 (2017), 131-142.

Melese, K. B. & Belda, T.H. (2021). Determinants of tourism product development in Southeast Ethiopia: Marketing perspectives. *Sustainability*, 13, pp. 1-21.

Montes-Rojas, G. & Barroso, R. (2020). What are the empirical determinants of international tourist arrivals and expenditures? An empirical application to the case of Sao Tome and Principe, *World Bank Group (Macroeconomics, Trade and Investment Global Practice) Working Paper Series Number 9189.*

Nesti, N.O. (2018). Investigating the relationship between tourism, trade openness and financial development to economic growth in six selected ASEAN countries, *Universitas Andalas Diploma Thesis in Social Sciences*.

Nyasha, S. & Odhiambo, N.M. (2021). Determinants of tourism development: Empirical evidence from three developing countries. *International Economics*, 74 (3), pp. 335-368.

Ohlan, R. (2017). The relationship between tourism, financial development and economic growth in India. *Future Business Journal*, 3 (1), pp. 9-22.

Panahi, H. & Nasibparast, S. (2018). Determinants of tourism development in developing countries: A Bayesian econometric approach. *Geographical Journal of Tourism Space*, 7 (26), pp. 43-58.

Pedak, M.O. (2018). The Effect of Tourism on GDP. A dissertation submitted to Jonkoping University.

Permatasari, M. M. & Esquivias, M. A. (2020). Determinants of tourism demand in Indonesia: A panel data analysis, *Tourism Analysis*, 25, pp. 77-89.

Pervan, M. & Juric, E. (2021). Determinants of tourism demand in Croatia, *International Journal of Economics and Statistics*, 9 (2021), pp. 102-107.

Puah, C.; Jong, M. & Arip, M. A. (2019). Determinants of tourism demand in Sarawak: An augmented gravity model, *Proceedings of the International Conference on Economics*, 2019 (ICE 2019), pp. 150-157.

Rasool, H.; Maqbool, S. & Tarique, M. (2021). The relationship between tourism and economic growth among BRICS countries: A panel co-integration analysis, *Future Business Journal*, 7 (1), pp.1-11.

Snieska, V. Barkauskiene, K. and Barkauskas, V. (2014). The impact of economic factors on the development of rural tourism: Lithuanian case, *Procedia -Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 156, pp. 280-285.

Stead, R. (1996). Foundation quantitative methods for business. Prentice Hall. England.

Tavares, R.V. (2020). Modelling the determinants of international tourism demand in Cabo Verde Islands by European countries: A dynamic panel data econometric analysis, *African Journal of Hospitality, Tourism and Leisure*, 9 (4), pp. 484-499.

Tsangari, H. (2012). Determinants of tourism for "sun and sea" Cyprus, *European Research Studies Journal*, 15 (3), pp. 161-184.

Tembo, J. (2018). Regional financial integration and its impact on financial sector development: The case of Southern Africa. *Unpublished Doctoral Thesis*. University of South Africa.

Tsaurai, K. (2018). The role of financial sector development in the tourism-growth nexus: A case of Southern African countries. *Journal of Economics and Behavioral Studies*, 10 (3), pp. 100-110.

Wada, I. (2021). Institutional quality and tourism growth nexus in MENA countries. *The Romanian Economic Journal*, 24 (81), pp. 3-14.

Williams, A. M. & Baláž, V. (2015). Tourism risk and uncertainty: Theoretical reflections. *Journal of Travel Research*, 54(3), pp. 271-287

Xie, J. (2020). The economic determinants of tourism seasonality: A case study of the Norwegian tourism industry. *Cogent Business and Management*, 7 (1), pp. 1-14.

Yenisehirlioglu, E. and Bayat, T. (2019). The relationship between tourism income and financial development in the MENA countries. *Journal of Business Research-TURK*, 11 (2), pp. 1183-1190.

Appendix Section

Table 4. Correlation Analysis

	TOURISM	FIN	HCD	OPEN	CARBON	GROWTH	PG	FDI
TOURIS M	1.00							
FIN	0.12	1.00						
HCD	0.05	-0.09	1.00					
OPEN	0.05	0.52***	0.01	1.00				
CARBON	0.74***	0.02	-0.01	0.08	1.00			
GROWTH	0.0003	-0.23***	-0.18**	-0.32***	0.29***	1.00		
PG	-0.18**	-0.60	-0.05	-0.40***	-0.18***	0.34***	1.00	
FDI	-0.01	0.16*	-0.03	0.06	-0.36***	-0.32***	- 0.23* **	1.00

Source: E-Views

Table 5. Descriptive Statistics

	TOURISM	FIN	HCD	OPEN	CARBON	GROWTH	PG	FDI
Mean	40 055 165	41.66	0.78	56.43	3.54	7 175.18	1.00	2.77
Median	14 150 000	35.71	0.76	48.11	3.77	7 245.45	1.02	2.69
Maximum	162 538 000	126.15	1.74	140.44	7.43	14 613.04	1.72	7.07
Minimum	123 000	6.27	0.55	21.85	0.98	1 053.11	0.28	0.45
Std. Dev.	47 381 324	25.22	0.18	31.65	1.50	3 388.18	0.37	1.22
Skewness	1.02	1.12	3.77	1.44	0.55	0.04	0.01	0.44
Kurtosis	2.62	3.96	20.62	4.04	3.37	2.04	2.03	3.30
Jarque-Bera	24.07	33.05	2 035	52.00	7.41	5.17	5.18	4.69
Probability	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.00
Observations	133	133	133	133	133	133	133	133

Source: E-Views