Educational Research Design: From Problem to Hypotheses

Lăcrămioara Mocanu[1]

Abstract: Educational research constitutes the foundation for the development of modern pedagogical practices, providing systematic answers to complex questions in the field of education. This article analyzes the process of developing educational research design, focusing on the logical path from problem identification to hypothesis formulation. The essential stages of the research process, methods for identifying and delimiting research problems, as well as techniques for building the theoretical framework, are explored. The article emphasizes the importance of correctly formulating hypotheses and research questions, highlighting the connection between them and the adopted methodology. The challenges facing educational researchers are discussed, and practical recommendations are offered for rigorously structuring research design. The analysis is based on international specialized literature and concrete examples from practice, providing an applicative perspective on the subject.

Keywords: educational research design; research problem; hypotheses; methodology; theoretical framework

1. Introduction

The research process in the field of education represents more than just data collection or questionnaire administration. It is about a complex, systematic activity that requires rigorous planning and a deep understanding of the pedagogical

[1] PhD, Associate Professor, Danubius International University of Galați, Romania, Address: 3 Galati Blvd. City, Galati 800654, Romania, Corresponding author: lacramioara_mocanu@yahoo.com. ORCID ID: 0009-0001-1441-797X.

phenomena being investigated. In recent decades, educational research has undergone significant diversification, both methodologically and conceptually, thus responding to the need to understand educational reality from multiple perspectives (Cohen et al., 2018).

The design of educational research can be compared to the architecture of a building: without a solid plan, without well-thought-out foundations, the entire structure risks collapsing. In this context, the initial stage - from identifying the problem to formulating hypotheses - represents the critical moment when the entire research takes shape. This phase requires not only theoretical knowledge but also critical thinking skills, creativity, and a nuanced understanding of the educational context being investigated (Creswell & Creswell, 2017).

A frequently overlooked aspect in discussions about educational research is the close connection between the quality of the initial design and the validity of the final results. Experienced researchers know that a vaguely formulated research problem or contradictory hypotheses can compromise the entire investigative effort, regardless of the sophistication of the instruments used or the size of the sample. Therefore, a deep understanding of this first stage becomes essential for any researcher, whether novice or experienced (Mertens, 2020).

The current context of education, marked by rapid transformations and complex challenges - from the digitalization of the learning process to the increasing diversity in the classroom - demands a methodologically rigorous approach to research. It is no longer sufficient to rely on intuitions or personal experiences; we need solid evidence, obtained through well-grounded research, to guide educational decisions at all levels of the system (Thomas, 2017).

Traditionally, educational research has been dominated by the positivist paradigm, which favored objectivity and quantitative measurement. However, in recent decades, we have witnessed a diversification of approaches, with recognition of the value of qualitative research and mixed methods. This evolution reflects the maturation of the field and the recognition that educational phenomena are too complex to be captured through a single methodological lens (Flick, 2018).

2. Identifying and Delimiting the Educational Research Problem

Any authentic educational research begins with a question or a puzzle. The research problem does not appear out of nowhere, but emerges from direct experience with educational reality, from reading specialized literature, or from observing

inconsistencies between theory and practice. The process of identifying a relevant research problem requires a period of immersion in context, careful observation, and critical reflection on educational phenomena (Mertler, 2019).

A first essential step in identifying the problem consists of clarifying one's own research interests. Researchers must ask themselves: What aspects of education fascinate me? What problems do I observe in current educational practice? What questions remain unanswered in the specialized literature? This initial introspection helps focus efforts and avoid getting lost in the infinite labyrinth of research possibilities (Wellington, 2015).

Once a potential area of interest has been identified, the next step involves a systematic analysis of existing literature. This stage serves several purposes: it confirms that the problem has not already been exhaustively resolved, helps refine the research question, and positions the future investigation in the broader context of knowledge in the field. It is important to mention that the absence of previous research on a certain topic is not always a positive sign - it may simply indicate that the subject is not considered relevant or that it cannot be investigated empirically (Booth et al., 2016).

Delimiting the research problem represents a delicate balancing exercise. On the one hand, the problem must be specific enough to be rigorously investigated, with limited resources and in a reasonable time. On the other hand, it must not be so narrow that the results become irrelevant to the broader educational context. Novice researchers frequently tend to formulate problems that are too broad, such as „How can education be improved?", while others may fall into the opposite extreme, focusing on minor details without theoretical or practical significance (Punch & Oancea, 2014).

A well-delimited research problem meets several fundamental criteria. First, it must be significant - it must contribute to understanding educational phenomena or improving pedagogical practice. Second, it must be researchable - that is, there must be methods by which the problem can be investigated empirically. Not all interesting questions can be transformed into empirical research problems; some remain in the domain of philosophical speculation or value judgments (Gray, 2018).

In the delimitation process, contextualization becomes essential. A research problem does not exist in the abstract, but manifests itself in a specific context: a certain level of education, a certain category of students, a certain school subject. Specifying these parameters not only makes the research more feasible but also increases the

relevance and applicability of the results. For example, instead of investigating generically „the effectiveness of active learning methods", we can focus on „the impact of project-based learning on the motivation of high school students in urban areas during science classes" (Johnson & Christensen, 2020).

Another crucial aspect is formulating the problem in the form of a research question or questions. These questions function as a lighthouse that guides the entire investigation process. They must be clear, precise, and lead logically to data collection and analysis. Well-formulated research questions contain operationalizable terms and suggest the type of data that must be collected. For example, the question „Is there a relationship between the use of educational technology and students' academic performance?" is superior to the vague formulation „Does technology help students?" (Bryman, 2016).

In the delimitation process, researchers must also be attentive to ethical aspects. Some research problems, although fascinating from a scientific point of view, can raise significant ethical issues - from stigmatizing certain groups of students to exposing participants to emotional or social risks. Identifying these aspects from the design phase allows for adaptation of the design or, in extreme cases, abandonment of the investigation (Hammersley & Traianou, 2012).

It is important to mention that the process of identifying and delimiting the problem is not linear and definitive. As the researcher becomes more deeply familiar with the specialized literature and the investigated context, the initial problem may be refined, reoriented, or even completely reformulated. This flexibility should not be perceived as a methodological weakness, but as a sign of intellectual maturity and sensitivity to the complexity of educational reality (Denscombe, 2017).

3. Building the Theoretical Framework and Formulating Hypotheses

After the research problem has been identified and delimited, the researcher must build the theoretical framework that will support the investigation. The theoretical framework represents the conceptual lens through which we will view the studied phenomenon, offering a context for understanding the data and interpreting the results. It is not a simple summary of specialized literature, but an intellectual construction that connects the research problem with the broader body of theoretical knowledge in the field (Maxwell, 2012).

Building a solid theoretical framework begins with a critical analysis of existing theories relevant to the problem being investigated. In education, we can draw on a

variety of theoretical paradigms - from behaviorist learning theories to constructivist or sociocultural approaches. The choice of theory or theories that will ground the research is not arbitrary; it must be justified by arguing their relevance to the specific problem being investigated (Anfara & Mertz, 2015).

The theoretical framework fulfills several essential functions in the economy of educational research. First, it helps clarify and refine the research problem, offering concepts and analytical categories that allow a more nuanced understanding of the phenomenon studied. Second, it guides data collection, suggesting which aspects of educational reality deserve attention and how they can be observed or measured. Third, it facilitates the interpretation of results, offering a conceptual context in which they can be discussed (Ravitch & Carl, 2019).

An effective theoretical framework is not necessarily exhaustive, but focused and relevant. Researchers must resist the temptation to include all theories that have connections with their subject, focusing instead on those concepts and theoretical models that offer the best explanatory potential for the problem being investigated. This selectivity not only makes the research more coherent but also demonstrates the researcher's ability to think critically and make informed methodological choices (Schram, 2006).

In the context of contemporary educational research, more and more researchers are opting for transdisciplinary approaches, borrowing concepts and theories from psychology, sociology, anthropology, or cognitive sciences. This openness to other disciplines can significantly enrich the theoretical framework, offering new perspectives on educational phenomena. However, integrating theories from different fields requires attention and intellectual sophistication to avoid superficial eclecticism or conceptual contradictions (Trowler, 2012).

Formulating hypotheses represents the next logical step after building the theoretical framework. Hypotheses are specific, testable predictions about relationships between variables or about the nature of the phenomena being investigated. They derive logically from the adopted theoretical framework and from the analysis of previous literature. A well-formulated hypothesis is more than just an educated guess; it represents an application of theory to a specific situation, a logically-based anticipation of what we will discover (Shadish et al., 2002).

In quantitative research, hypotheses tend to be formulated in precise, operational terms, specifying causal or correlational relationships between variables. For example: „Students who participate in a peer-to-peer tutoring program will obtain

significantly higher scores on standardized mathematics tests compared to students in the control group". This formulation is clear, specific, and, crucially, empirically testable (Field, 2018).

In qualitative research, the concept of hypothesis is frequently replaced with research questions or exploratory purposes. This does not mean that qualitative research lacks rigor; it simply reflects a different epistemological orientation, which favors discovery and deep understanding instead of testing and prediction. Qualitative researchers can begin with broad questions such as „How do students from rural areas experience the transition to online learning?" and allow more specific investigation directions to emerge during data collection (Merriam & Tisdell, 2015).

Regardless of the methodological paradigm adopted, there are several universal principles for formulating hypotheses or research questions. These must be: (1) clear and unambiguous in the terms used, (2) specific regarding the aspects investigated, (3) feasible in terms of available resources and time, (4) ethical in implementation, and (5) relevant to educational theory and practice (Salkind, 2017).

A frequently overlooked aspect is the need to formulate alternative or secondary hypotheses. In educational research, phenomena are often multi-determined, and a single hypothesis rarely captures the entire complexity of the situation. Formulating multiple hypotheses, sometimes including rival hypotheses, demonstrates sophisticated thinking and increases the robustness of the research design (Plano Clark & Ivankova, 2016).

The relationship between the theoretical framework and hypotheses must be explicit and logical. Each hypothesis must be traceable back to the theoretical framework, demonstrating how the specific prediction derives from the adopted theoretical premises. This logical coherence not only strengthens the research design but also facilitates the interpretation of results and contribution to existing theory (Leavy, 2017).

In the process of formulating hypotheses, researchers must be aware of their own biases and assumptions. There is a risk that hypotheses may reflect more the researcher's personal beliefs than logical derivations from theory. Reflexivity - the ability to critically examine one's own assumptions and influences - thus becomes an essential competency in educational research design (Finlay, 2002).

It is important to mention that not all educational research requires hypotheses in the strict sense. Exploratory research, phenomenological studies, or ethnographic investigations can operate with open research questions that allow for the inductive

discovery of patterns and meanings. The choice between formulating deductive hypotheses or exploratory questions depends on the nature of the research problem, the stage of theoretical development in the field, and the objectives of the investigation (Yin, 2017).

The testability of hypotheses constitutes a fundamental criterion of their validity. A hypothesis that cannot be tested empirically, no matter how interesting it may be from a theoretical point of view, cannot ground empirical research. Researchers must ensure that there are adequate methods and instruments for collecting the data necessary to test the formulated hypotheses. This exercise of methodological anticipation in the design phase prevents later frustrations and ensures the feasibility of the research (Gorard, 2013).

4. Conclusions

The design of educational research, viewed from the perspective of the journey from problem to hypotheses, represents a complex intellectual activity that requires methodological rigor, creativity, and sensitivity to the educational context. The analysis carried out in this article highlights the fact that the success of research is largely decided in this initial design phase, long before the actual collection of data.

The identification and delimitation of the research problem constitute the critical moment when the researcher defines the contours of their investigation. We have seen that a well-formulated problem must meet criteria of theoretical and practical significance, be empirically researchable, and be delimited clearly enough to allow systematic investigation. The identification process is not linear, but iterative, requiring successive refinements as the researcher becomes familiar with the specialized literature and the specific context being investigated.

Building the theoretical framework and formulating hypotheses represent the subsequent logical steps that transform a simple question into a coherent research design. The theoretical framework offers the conceptual lens through which we interpret educational reality, while hypotheses or research questions operationalize theory, making it empirically testable. The logical coherence between these elements - problem, theory, hypotheses - constitutes the distinctive mark of well-designed research.

The challenges that researchers face in this initial phase are multiple: from the temptation to formulate problems that are too broad or too narrow, to the difficulty

of coherently integrating different theoretical perspectives. Experience and critical reflexivity help in navigating these challenges, but even experienced researchers acknowledge that the design phase remains a moment of vulnerability and creative uncertainty.

An essential aspect to remember is that research design is not a purely technical exercise, but a profoundly intellectual activity that reflects the researcher's understanding of the nature of knowledge and how it can be generated. The methodological choices we make in the design phase are not neutral; they carry with them epistemological and ontological assumptions that will shape the entire research.

In the current educational context, marked by increasing complexity and the need for evidence-based solutions, rigorous educational research is more important than ever. However, rigor should not be confused with rigidity. A well-constructed design must allow flexibility and adaptation as the research progresses, recognizing that educational reality is too dynamic and too nuanced to be completely captured by any predetermined plan.

For researchers beginning their activity in the educational field, a deep understanding of the process from problem to hypotheses represents an essential investment. This first design phase establishes the foundations of the entire research construction, and the attention paid to details at this stage will positively impact the quality of the entire investigation. The central message of this article is that the time and effort invested in developing a solid design are never wasted - they guarantee that the research will produce valid, relevant, and useful knowledge to understand and improve educational practice.

Looking ahead, the field of educational research continues to evolve, incorporating new technologies, methods, and theoretical paradigms. However, the fundamental principles of rigorous design - clarity of the problem, solidity of the theoretical framework, testability of hypotheses - remain constant, representing the pillars on which scientific knowledge in education is built. The challenge for future generations of researchers will be to maintain this standard of methodological rigor while simultaneously adapting it to ever-changing educational contexts.

References

Anfara, V. A., & Mertz, N. T. (2015). *Theoretical frameworks in qualitative research* (2nd ed.). SAGE Publications.

Booth, W. C., Colomb, G. G., Williams, J. M., Bizup, J., & Fitzgerald, W. T. (2016). *The craft of research* (4th ed.). University of Chicago Press.

Bryman, A. (2016). *Social research methods* (5th ed.). Oxford University Press.

Cohen, L., Manion, L., & Morrison, K. (2018). *Research methods in education* (8th ed.). Routledge.

Creswell, J. W., & Creswell, J. D. (2017). *Research design: Qualitative, quantitative, and mixed methods approaches* (5th ed.). SAGE Publications.

Denscombe, M. (2017). *The good research guide: For small-scale social research projects* (6th ed.). Open University Press.

Field, A. (2018). *Discovering statistics using IBM SPSS Statistics* (5th ed.). SAGE Publications.

Finlay, L. (2002). Negotiating the swamp: The opportunity and challenge of reflexivity in research practice. *Qualitative Research*, *2*(2), 209-230.

Flick, U. (2018). *An introduction to qualitative research* (6th ed.). SAGE Publications.

Gorard, S. (2013). *Research design: Creating robust approaches for the social sciences*. SAGE Publications.

Gray, D. E. (2018). *Doing research in the real world* (4th ed.). SAGE Publications.

Hammersley, M., & Traianou, A. (2012). *Ethics in qualitative research: Controversies and contexts*. SAGE Publications.

Johnson, R. B., & Christensen, L. (2020). *Educational research: Quantitative, qualitative, and mixed approaches* (7th ed.). SAGE Publications.

Leavy, P. (2017). *Research design: Quantitative, qualitative, mixed methods, arts-based, and community-based participatory research approaches*. The Guilford Press.

Maxwell, J. A. (2012). *Qualitative research design: An interactive approach* (3rd ed.). SAGE Publications.

Merriam, S. B., & Tisdell, E. J. (2015). *Qualitative research: A guide to design and implementation* (4th ed.). Jossey-Bass.

Mertens, D. M. (2020). *Research and evaluation in education and psychology: Integrating diversity with quantitative, qualitative, and mixed methods* (5th ed.). SAGE Publications.

Mertler, C. A. (2019). *Introduction to educational research* (2nd ed.). SAGE Publications.

Plano Clark, V. L., & Ivankova, N. V. (2016). *Mixed methods research: A guide to the field*. SAGE Publications.

Punch, K. F., & Oancea, A. (2014). *Introduction to research methods in education* (2nd ed.). SAGE Publications.

Ravitch, S. M., & Carl, N. M. (2019). *Qualitative research: Bridging the conceptual, theoretical, and methodological* (2nd ed.). SAGE Publications.

Salkind, N. J. (2017). *Exploring research* (9th ed.). Pearson Education.

Schram, T. H. (2006). *Conceptualizing and proposing qualitative research* (2nd ed.). Pearson Merrill Prentice Hall.

Shadish, W. R., Cook, T. D., & Campbell, D. T. (2002). *Experimental and quasi-experimental designs for generalized causal inference*. Houghton Mifflin.

Thomas, G. (2017). *How to do your research project: A guide for students* (3rd ed.). SAGE Publications.

Trowler, P. (2012). Disciplines and academic practices. In P. Trowler, M. Saunders, & V. Bamber (Eds.), *Tribes and territories in the 21st century: Rethinking the significance of disciplines in higher education* (pp. 30-38). Routledge.

Wellington, J. (2015). *Educational research: Contemporary issues and practical approaches* (2nd ed.). Bloomsbury Academic.

Yin, R. K. (2017). *Case study research and applications: Design and methods* (6th ed.). SAGE Publications.