Indirect Tax and Economic Development in Nigeria

Emmanuel Korolo[1]

Abstract: Indirect taxes and economic growth in Nigeria are the subjects of this study. The Human Development Index (HDI) was employed as a measure of economic development in order to specifically assess if indirect taxes, such as Value Added Tax (VAT) and Customs and Excise (CED), influence economic development in Nigeria. The study employed an ex post facto research design with secondary data from the Federal Inland Revenue Service, the Nigerian Customs Service, and the Central Bank of Nigeria Statistical Bulletin for the pertinent years. (2001-2021). In order to investigate the correlation between the variables, ordinary least squares (OLS) regression techniques were applied. This study discovered that while there is a definite positive association between Value Added Tax (VAT) and Nigerian economic progress, the relationship between Customs and Excise Tax (CED) and that development is negative and inconsequential. This study generally concludes that indirect taxes and economic growth in Nigeria are significantly related. The study thus urges political decision-makers to work toward further indirect tax reforms, as these have a significant impact on Nigeria's economic progress.

Keywords: economic; influence; human

1. Introduction

The importance of economic development in generating tax income among the many countries of the world has grown over time. The human capital development and raising living conditions have traditionally been considered a top priority for nations. However, the world's advanced economies were far ahead and had time to adequately foresee the difficulties of economic progress. (Schultz, 2021). According to Desislava (2018), the United States devotes an average of 45% of its annual budget to the development of its workforce and human resources. The structure of economic development is critical as the optimal design for raising the gross domestic product per capita of countries, according to the International Monetary Fund (2016). According to Desislava (2018), a few European Union countries influence peoples' living standards in different ways. Each nation's economic growth strategy reflects its political objectives, including its aim to raise enough money to provide social services and raise residents' living conditions. (Al-tarawih et al., 2020; Baiardi et al., 2019).

The government's inability to invest in infrastructure, social inclusion, job creation, youth empowerment, and enhancing the human resource foundation of the economy has been cited as one of the causes of economic underdevelopment in Nigeria. (Babatunde et al., 2017). Governments, according to their argument, have never taken coordinated action or had real intentions to invest in

[1] Federal University Otuoke, Nigeria, Corresponding author: koroloemmanuel@yahoo.com.

infrastructure, enhance the business climate, or boost human capital, all of which are vital for economic success. According to Folayan and Adeniyi (2018), Nigeria has not improved as much as it should have in the world rankings of developing nations because of the macroeconomic environment's instability, the country's poor agricultural performance, the persistence of food insecurity, problems with energy sufficiency, the inadequate improvement of transportation infrastructure, and the inability to advance industrialization, which prioritizes small and medium-sized enterprises.

Any nation's tax system must accomplish a few objectives, including protecting domestic and emerging industries as well as generating revenue for the government, and redistributing income as a means of stimulating social and economic development. The administrative framework and the priorities of central and regional governance determine how well these tasks are carried out and whether taxation is practical as a stabilization tool. Taxation, which is a part of the fiscal policy framework in economic theory, thereby affects the rate of economic growth as well as other micro- and macroeconomic factors. The relationship between taxes and economic growth has received a lot of attention in the literature on accounting, finance, and economics. For instance, the United Nations (2005) contends that in order to attain the Millennium Development Goals, poor countries must increase their domestic income through taxation (MDGs). Several empirical studies, such as those conducted by the UN (2005), Popoola (2019), Adegbie and Fakile (2011), Onefeiwu (2012), Ogbanna and Appah (2016), and others, have attempted to link taxation and economic growth.

Professionals and even regular citizens in Nigeria continue to dispute the impact of taxes on the economy because it is thought that taxes in Nigeria do not function as effectively as they do in other nations across the world. The argument's premise, which highlights the nation's severe economic distortions, the dismal state of national infrastructure, and the level of poverty, is compelling. The ineffective administrative infrastructure and corruption among tax authorities are also documented in other places, which hinders the effectiveness of the nation's tax administration. As a result, policy directives must be established to increase consistent revenue flows to the government given the fiscal imbalances that characterize Nigeria's public sector and the ever-increasing requirements of the populace. (Etim & Nweze, 2015). Therefore, a broad premise can be that the government uses tax income wisely to fund social security and infrastructure and that economic growth and development are supported when tax laws foster a favorable business climate.

1.1. Statement of the Research Problem

Nigeria's infrastructural disintegration is clearly to blame for the country's lackluster economic development over the years. These have significant and detrimental effects on the nation's economic growth. (Alade & Tule, 2021). Asaolu and others (2018); The study by Olaoye and Aguguom (2018) showed that issues with economic development were made worse by difficulties in the oil industry, such as the sabotage of oil export terminals in the Niger Delta, which had a negative impact on export revenues and the government's ability to balance the budget and stop the economy from contracting. Lack of fiscal buffers to absorb shocks, the phase-out of public funds due to corruption, poor corporate governance, leaders' unpatriotic attitudes, and inefficient use of the resources available to have effective economic development in Nigeria have all placed restrictions on the capacity of government spending. (Nedozie et al., 2014; Ogbuagu et al., 2014).

Since at least two (2) decades ago, the primary source of funding for public spending at all levels of government (state and local) in Nigeria has been the proceeds from the sale of crude oil, which has

displaced taxes as the main source of governmental revenue. Crude oil prices, on the other hand, have lately dropped due to the collapse of the world oil market and the ensuing impact of COVID-19 on the prospective revenue streams for nations. This calls for a review of the country's revenue structure with a focus on taxation. In this study, the Human Development Index (HDI), rather than the Gross Domestic Product (GDP) or the Real Gross Domestic Product (RGDP), will be employed as a gauge of economic development. Therefore, the purpose of this study is to investigate how indirect taxes affect economic growth in Nigeria.

2. Literature Review

2.1. Conceptual Clarifications

2.1.1. Concept of Indirect Taxes

Indirect taxes are levied on people or groups who are not supposed to absorb the cost, but instead transfer it to other people. They are typically imposed on goods or services where the consumers and last payers are directly impacted, rather than the producer or first payer. In other words, consumers typically pay all or a portion of the indirect taxes imposed on a market. In rich nations like the US, most of the government revenue often comes from direct taxes, whereas in underdeveloped nations like Nigeria, most of the government revenue mostly comes from indirect taxes. (Igweonyia, 2011). Value Added Tax (VAT) and Customs and Excise duties have been chosen as the indirect taxes for this study.

A sort of tax charged by intermediaries that remit taxes to the government and carry out tasks associated with filing tax returns is an indirect tax, often known as an expense tax. Customers are ultimately responsible for paying the taxes. Because of this, these taxes play a significant role in the final cost. The government imposes indirect taxes on producers, but depending on the product's price elasticity of supply and demand, the tax burden may be transferred to consumers. As a result, consumers typically pay all or a portion of the indirect taxes imposed on a market. The passenger tax per flight for domestic airlines is an illustration of this. When the final price is announced and the customer pays the entire weight, many airlines give this information directly to the customer. It is impossible to emphasize how significantly indirect taxes affect a country's ability to develop. Since then, indirect taxes have drawn more attention from developing nations looking to simplify their tax systems. Nigeria, Senegal, Côte d'Ivoire, Morocco, Tunisia, and other countries are among them. Indirect taxes are imposed on practically all business transactions in more than 130 nations since they are meant to be neutral for company. Taxes, levies, fees, fines, special assessments, as well as income from state-owned businesses and natural resources, are the main sources of funding for the government.

2.1.2. The Concept of Economic Development

Over time, underdevelopment deteriorated, leading to low capital literacy, subpar living conditions, and increased levels of poverty in Nigeria. Economic development, according to Owusu-Gyimah (2015), is defined as a rise in national production and modifications to the institutional and technical frameworks that produce it. A movement in the labor force from agriculture to contemporary manufacturing and service sectors as well as self-sustaining output growth are referred to as structural changes in the study. These changes in institutional and technological variables are what drive this transition. Economic development is defined as structural change plus economic growth. Thaci and

Gerxhaliu (2018) emphasized the relationship between economic growth and economic development further by pointing out that, while economic growth measures an increase in the real gross domestic product (real output), economic development takes into account more statistics than just GDP per capita. They continue by saying that the total amount of products and services produced in a year serves as a measure of economic growth. Therefore, development is concerned with how people's living standards are impacted.

In its broadest definition, economic development is the transformation of a nation from a low-income economy to a high-income one, lifting the poor out of poverty. The rate of economic development rises as the level of living in the area rises. It is a process by which the people of a country make use of the resources at their disposal to increase the country's per capita income. This means that via increasing output, higher rates of literacy, and better public education, individuals in a country will become wealthier, healthier, and have a longer average life expectancy.

Economic development is gauged using the Human Development Index (HDI), which is a composite indicator of long-term improvement in three key areas of human development access to a safe and healthy life, access to education, and a reasonable standard of living, according to the United Nations Development Program (UNDP 2014). It is a procedure by which a country enhances the social, political, and economic well-being of its citizens. According to UNDP (2014), the HDI is an index that gauge's important aspects of human development, such as life expectancy and a reasonable standard of living as determined by gross national income per capita adjusted for the local currency.

2.1.3. Indirect Taxes and Economic Development

According to Akenbor and Nwaiwu (2016), an indirect tax is paid when a taxpayer purchases the products or services that are subject to the tax and that cost is borne by a different party than the one who is collecting the tax. Typically, the manufacturer will charge such a tax, but the consumer will pay it. The indirect taxpayer is never informed of the collection and is in the dark about it. The government frequently uses and imposes indirect taxes in order to increase its tax income. No matter the taxpayer's income, whether they are wealthy or not, indirect taxes are charged to them equally. Indirect taxes, according to Akenbor and Nwaiwu (2016), are levied on the taxpayer's consumption of goods and services. A tax on the purchase of goods and services is known as an indirect tax. Because they are not assessed against the consumer's or worker's income directly, indirect taxes include customs duties, import tariffs, production and sales taxes, and VAT.

2.2. Review of Empirical Literature

Ikeokwu and Leyira (2021) looked at how indirect taxes affected Nigeria's economic expansion. From the FIRS and CBN Statistical Bulletin databases, secondary data were taken. To test the gathered data, multiple regression using Ordinary Least Square (OLS) was used. According to "the study, Nigeria's economic expansion is significantly impacted by indirect taxes.

In Kenya between 1973 and 2010, Owino (2021) did an empirical study on the relationship between VAT and economic growth. The model was represented econometrically, and its estimation was carried out using the ordinary least squares approach. According to the study, there is a slight but favorable correlation between Kenya's VAT and economic growth.

An empirical analysis of the effect of indirect taxes on Nigeria's economic performance from 1994 to 2017 was done by Nmesirionye et al. in 2020. The National Bureau of Statistics and the Central Bank

of Nigeria's Statistical Bulletin were used to gather secondary data. On the acquired data, OLS multiple regression techniques were applied. The analysis discovered that whereas tariffs and excise taxes have a positive and considerable influence on Nigeria's actual gross domestic product, VAT has a favorable but negligible impact.

In 2020, Babalola and Aminu looked at the empirical connection between Pakistan's economic development and indirect taxes. We used annual time series data from 1974 to 2010. Checks for stationarity of the variables were performed using the Philips Perron and ADF unit root tests. Testing with Auto Regressive Distributed Lag (ARDL) Bounds to assess the long-term and short-term association between variables, a co-integration approach was used. The study's findings revealed that while indirect taxes had a negligible short-term influence on economic growth, they had a large negative long-term impact. If economic growth needs to be increased, the study suggested lowering indirect taxes and rising direct taxes.

Ebiringa and Emeh (2019) used time series data from 1994 to 2012 to evaluate how the VAT affected Nigeria's economic growth. The study employed ex post facto and retrieved data from several central banks' Statistical Bulletin for Nigeria, including VAT and real gross domestic product. The data was analyzed using the Engle-Granger General Error Correction Model (ECM) technique, and it was determined that the VAT has a negative significant relationship with the gross domestic product under both short- and long-term equilibrium conditions, leading to the conclusion that the VAT has a significant impact on economic growth in Nigeria.

2.3. Theoretical Framework

The expediency theory is referenced in this paper. In order to increase economic growth and development, this theory considers the economic efficacy and efficiency of tax collecting. According to this notion, any tax proposal must succeed in practice. The expediency theory is based on a connection between tax liability and government operations, according to Bhartia's explanation in 2009. It makes the supposition that the people should pay the government for the services it renders. This school of thought establishes a foundation for distributing the tax burden among society's members and justifies the imposition of taxes to fund governmental operations. Considering this, a tax system should be created to address society's problems rather than benefiting specific individuals. This approach is appropriate for this study since it enables us to determine how much indirect taxes have aided Nigeria's economic growth.

3. Methodology

The ex post facto research design is used in this study. The primary source of information for this study is secondary data. For the relevant years (2001–2021), time series data for the Human Development Index, Value Added Tax, and Customs and Excise were collected from the Central Bank of Nigeria Statistical Bulletin, Federal Revenue Agency, and Nigerian Customs Service. " The Nigerian economy is the sole subject of this study. This study employed both descriptive and inferential analysis. Using Ordinary Least Squares (OLS) regression methods, this study's hypotheses were tested.

3.1. Model Specification

The following functions of economic development specified for this study are stated thus;

HDI = f (VAT) (1)

HDI = f (CED) (2)

TFCR = f (VAT, CED) (3)

Equation (3) is explicitly stated below as an econometric model;

$HDI_t = \beta 0 + \beta 1 VAT_t + \beta 2 CED_t + \mu_t$

Where;

HDI_t = Human Development Index in the current period

VAT_t = Value Added Tax in the current period

CED_t = Custom and Excise Duties in the current period

μ = Error term capturing other explanatory variables not explicitly included in the model.

βo = Intercept of the regression.

$\beta 1$ and $\beta 2$ = Beta coefficients of the independent variables

4. Results and Discussion of Findings

Table 4.1. Group Descriptive Statistics

	HDI	VAT	CED
Mean	0.503611	630.5528	439.0444
Median	0.510500	679.8500	435.9500
Maximum	0.540000	1175.900	837.3000
Minimum	0.445000	136.4000	177.7000
Std. Dev.	0.029448	345.4352	208.2124
Skewness	-0.439005	0.018373	0.412666
Kurtosis	1.927349	1.799041	1.970445
Jarque-Bera	1.441110	1.082740	1.305867
Probability	0.486482	0.581951	0.520517
Sum	9.065000	11349.95	7902.800
Sum Sq. Dev.	0.014742	2028533.	736991.0
Observations	21	21	21

Source Author's Computation Using Eviews 12

Table 4.1 displays the descriptive statistics for the dependent and independent variables in the model. The Human Development Index (HDI), Value Added Tax (VAT), and Customs and Excise (CED) have median values of 0.503611, 630.5528 billion, and 439.0444 billion, respectively, between 2001 and 2021. The peaks of the HDI, VAT, and CED, which are 0.540000, 1175.900 billion, and 837.3000 billion, respectively, can be compared to these figures. This suggests that save from the HDI, all variables' means are well below their maximum values. The skewness is a metric for the asymmetry of a series' distribution around its mean. All variables, except for the dependent variable (HDI), have skewnesses greater than zero. This demonstrates the positive skewness of the independent variables (VAT and IED). As a result, each independent variable's observation has a right long-tail distribution. The dependent variable (HDI) is biased against the negative. A normal distribution's kurtosis is 3.

Table 4.1 also demonstrates that the distributions for HDI, VAT, and CED are all platykurtic, with kurtosis values of less than 3.

4.1. Test of Hypotheses

Table 4.2. Impact of Indirect Taxes on HDI in Nigeria

Variable	Coefficient	Std. Error	t-Statistic	Prob.*
HDI (-1)	0.371923	0.202913	1.832922	0.0855
VAT	6.98E-05	2.56E-05	2.729454	0.0148
CED	-3.46E-05	3.25E-05	-1.063766	0.3032
C	0.289607	0.090890	3.186349	0.0057
R-squared	0.958471	Mean dependent var		0.499700
Adjusted R-squared	0.950684	S.D. dependent var		0.030349
S.E. of regression	0.006740	Akaike info criterion		-6.984756
Sum squared resid	0.000727	Schwarz criterion		-6.785609
Log likelihood	73.84756	Hannan-Quinn criter.		-6.945880
F-statistic	123.0903	Durbin-Watson stat		1.982077
Prob(F-statistic)	0.000000			

*Note p-values and any subsequent tests do not account for model selection.

Source Author's Computation Using Eviews 12

HO₁ "There is no significant relationship between Value Added Tax and economic development in Nigeria.

The study's autoregressive distributed lag (ARDL) result is displayed in the regression in Table 4.2. Value Added Tax (VAT) has a positive coefficient of 0.00698, which is significant with a p-value of 0.0148, according to the findings. When all other factors are held constant, interpretation of the positive VAT coefficients reveals that a one-unit rise in VAT raises Nigeria's Human Development Index (HDI) by about 0.70%. The null hypothesis is now rejected, and we get to the conclusion that there is a significant association between VAT and economic development in Nigeria since the probability value of Value Added Tax (VAT), which is 0.0148, is below the significance level of 5%.

HO₂ There is no significant relationship between Customs and Excise Duties and economic development in Nigeria.

Customs and Excise (CED) have a negative coefficient of -0.00346 according to the Autoregressive Distributed Lag (ARDL) results in Table 4.2, which is negligible given a p-value of 0.3032. The interpretation of the negative IBD coefficients reveals that, when all other factors are held constant, a one-unit rise in IBD causes a 0.346% fall in Nigeria's Human Development Index (HDI). We hereby accept the null hypothesis and draw the conclusion that there is no significant association between VAT and economic development in Nigeria because the Custom and Excise Duty (CED) probability value of 0.3032 is greater than the 5% significance level.

Model Summary

According to the adjusted R-Squared value of 0.950684, VAT and IED account for around 95.07% of the systematic fluctuations in the dependent variable (HDI) across the observed years, with 4.93% of

the variations being explained by other external determinants of the model. A significant probability value of 0.00000, or less than 0.05, is displayed by the F statistic. This indicates that the independent variables (VAT and CED) did not have a chance impact on the dependent variable (HDI). The absence of autocorrelation is indicated by the Durbin-Watson value of 1.98.

4.2. Discussion of Findings

Value Added Tax (VAT) and Customs and Excise (CE) were considered when evaluating the effect of indirect taxes on economic development in Nigeria. (CED). According to the findings of our study, Nigeria's economic growth is positively and significantly impacted by Value Added Tax (VAT). This finding is in line with those of Oladipupo and Ibadin (2015), Onwuchekwa and Aruwa (2014), Emmanuel (2013), Ikeokwu and Leyira (2021), Andersson and Lazuka (2019), Oladipupo and Ibadin (2015), Onwuchekwa and Aruwa (2014), and others who have found a positive and significant correlation between VAT and economic progress in Nigeria. The findings of Owino (2019), Olatunji and Faith (2018), and Ihendinihu et al. (2014), whose study suggested a negligible association between VAT and economic progress in Nigeria, are refuted by this one.

Customs and Excise (CED) have been discovered to have a detrimental and negligible effect on Nigeria's economic growth, nonetheless. This finding supports that of Nimenibo et al. (2018), who found that the economic growth of Nigeria is not significantly impacted by excise and customs taxes. The findings of Salami et al. (2015), Ebiringa and Emeh (2012), and Illaboya and Mgbame (2012), which similarly found a link between customs and excise taxes and economic progress in Nigeria, are disproved by this one.

5. Conclusion

A study was undertaken to ascertain how indirect taxes affect Nigeria's economic growth. The study's primary goal is to determine how Nigeria's Human Development Index (HDI) has been impacted by VAT and CED. The Central Bank of Nigeria's statistical bulletins were the source of the data used in the study. Value Added Tax (VAT) has a favorable and considerable impact on economic development in Nigeria, according to the trend analysis's findings. Additionally, it was discovered by this study that Customs and Excise Duties (CED) had a poor and negligible impact on Nigeria's economic growth. The study's findings support the conclusion that Nigeria's economic development and Value Added Tax (VAT) have a substantial relationship. This study generally concludes that indirect taxes and economic growth in Nigeria are significantly related. "

References

Abomaye-Nimenibo, W.; Samuel, M. & Friday, H. (2018). An empirical analysis of tax revenue and economic growth in Nigeria from 1980 to 2015. *Advance Journal of Management, Accounting, and Finance,* 4(4), pp. 13-31.

Adegbie, D. R. & Fakile, T. Y. (2011). Value-added tax and economic growth in Nigeria. *European Journal of Humanities and Social Sciences,* 10(1), pp. 456-471.

Akenbor, C. O. & Nwaiwu, J. N. (2016). Tax evasion and equity theory. An instigative approach. *International Taxation and Public Finance,* 9(4), pp. 505-521.

Alade, S. O. & Tule, M. K. (2021). The Nigerian financial system at a glance. *Central Bank of Nigeria Monetary Policy Journal*, 1(2), pp. 37-39.

Al-tarawih, A.; Khataybeh, M. & Alkhawaldeh, S. (2020). Impact of taxation on economic growth in an emerging country. *International Journal of Business and Economics Research,* 9(2), pp. 73-77.

Andersson, J. & Lazuka, V. (2019). Long-term drivers of taxation in francophone West Africa 1893–2010. *World Development, Elsevier*, 114(C), pp. 294-313.

Asaolu, T. O.; Jayeola, O. & Oladele, A. S. (2018). Tax revenue and economic growth in Nigeria. *International Journal of Management & Development, 5*(7), pp. 72-85.

Babalola, S. J. & Aminu, U. (2020). Fiscal policy and economic growth relationship in Nigeria. *International Journal of Business and Social Sciences*, 2(17), pp. 44-54.

Baiardi, D.; Profeta, P.; Puglisi, R. & Scabrosetti, S. (2019). Tax policy and economic growth does it really matter? *International Tax and Public Finance*, 26 (2), pp. 282-316.

Bhartia, H. L (2009). *Public finance* (14th Edition). New Delhi Vikas Publishing House PVT Limited

Desislava, S. (2018). Tax structure and economic growth Evidence from the European Union. *Cortaderia Administration,* 62(3), pp. 1041-1057.

Ebiringa, O. T. & Emeh, Y. (2012). Analysis of tax formation and impact on economic growth in Nigeria. *International Journal of Accounting and Financial Reporting*, 2(2)*, pp. 367-385.

Ebiringa, O. T. & Emeh, Y. (2019). Analysis of tax formation and impact on economic growth in Nigeria. *International Journal of Accounting and Financial Reporting, 2*(2), pp. 367- 385.

Etim, M. & Nweze, G. K. (2015). Impact of company income taxation on the profitability of companies in Nigeria A study of Nigerian Breweries. *European Journal of Accounting, Auditing and Finance Research,* 3(8), pp. 1 – 11.

Folayan, D. O. & Adeniyi, A. G. (2018). Effects of tax evasion on government revenue generation in Oyo State. Nigeria. *European Centre for Research Training and Development.*

Igweonyia, F.G. (2011). Taxation as an instrument of economic growth (The Nigeria perspective), *Information and Knowledge Management,* 4(12), pp. 49 – 53.

Ihendinihu, J. U.; Ebieri, J. & Ibanichuka, E. (2014) Assessment of the long-run equilibrium relationship between tax revenue and economic growth in Nigeria 1986 to 2012. *The SIJ Transactions on Industrial, Financial & Business Management (IFBM)* 2(2), pp. 39-47

Ikeokwu, Q. C. & Leyira, C. M. (2021). Indirect taxes and economic growth in Nigeria. *Advance Journal of Management, Accounting, and Finance*, 4(4), pp. 13-31.

Ilaboya, O. J. & Mgbame, C. O. (2012). Indirect tax and economic growth. *Research Journal of Finance and Accounting,* 3(11), pp. 70-82.

International Monetary Fund (IMF). (2016). The Determinants of stock market development in emerging economies Is South Africa different? *IMF Working Paper*, African Department, No 32.

Musgrave, R. A. & Musgrave, P. B. (2004*). Public Finance Theory and Practice*. Tafa, McGraw Hill, New Delhi, India, 187.

Nedozie, F. O.; Obasanmi, J. & Ighata, J. A. (2014). Infrastructural development and economic development in Nigeria using simultaneous equations. *Journal of Economics*, 5(3), pp. 325-332.

Nmesirionye, J. A.; Jones, E. & Onuche, E. V. S. (2019). Impact of indirect taxes on the economic performance of Nigeria (1994-2017*). European Journal of Accounting, Finance, and Investment*, 5(4), pp. 32-39.

Ogbonna, G. N. & Appah, E. (2012) Impact of tax reforms and economic growth of Nigeria, *Current Research Journal of Social Sciences*, 4(1), pp. 62 – 68.

Ogbuagu, U. R.; Ubi, P. S. & Effion, L. (2014). Corruption and infrastructural decay, perceptible evidence from Nigeria, *Journal of Economics and Sustainable Development,* 5(10), pp. 20-27.

Olaoye, S. A. & Aguguom, T. A. (2018). Tax incentives as a catalyst of Tax Compliance for Tax revenue and Economic development Empirical evidence from Nigeria. *European Journal of Accounting, Finance, and Investment,* 1(8), pp. 001-014.

Olatunji, O. C. & Faith, O. (2018). Effects of value added tax and customs duties on revenue generation in Nigeria (2000-2016). *European Journal of Accounting, Auditing and Finance Research*, 6(3), pp. 78-85.

Onefeiwu, T. (2012). Tax Policy Development, Donor Inflows and Economic Growth in Malawi. *Journal of Economics and International*, 4(7), pp. 159-172.

Onwuchekwa, J. C. & Aruwa, S. A. S. (2014). Value-added tax and economic growth in Nigeria. *European Journal of Accounting Auditing and Finance Research,* 2(8), pp. 62-69.

Owino, O. B. (2021). An empirical analysis of value added tax on economic growth. Evidence from Kenya data set. *Journal of Economics, Management, and Trade*, 22(3), pp. 1-14.

Owusu-Gyimah, A. (2015). Tax revenue generation and the economic development of Ghana. *European Journal of Business and Management*, 7(14), pp. 78-88.

Popoola, K. (2019). Tax Policy Development, Donor Inflows, and Economic Growth in Malawi, *Journal of Economics, and International Finance*, (7), pp. 159-172.

Schultz, T. W. (2021). Human capital formation. *International Business Journal*, 2(3), pp. 234-242.

Sowole, O. E. & Adekoyejo, M. O. (2019). Influence of value added tax on economic development the Nigeria perspective. *The Journal of Accounting and Management*, 9 (3), pp. 35-43.

Thaci, L. & Gerxhaliu, A. (2018). Tax structure and developing countries. *European Journal of Economics and Business Studies,* 4(1), pp. 213-220.