

The Significance of Forensic Review and Examination in Determining Tax Associated Fraud and Malpractices

Cordelia Onyinyechi Omodero¹, Newman Enyioko²

Abstract: An unending duty connected misrepresentation in addition to misbehavior coming about to commercial breakdown and the disappointment of the legal review to recognize and forestall fake exercises which had prompted the failure of financial specialists had offered ascend to the requirement for legal review and examinations. Taking into account the abovementioned, this paper considers the use of legal review and examination in settling charge related extortion and impropriety. The examination is a hypothetical research which considers the jobs of criminological inspectors in fighting fake exercises, differentiation of measurable examiner and statutory reviewer, and effect of legal evaluator on corporate administration. In view of the discoveries; this paper infers that criminological reviewing has improved administration responsibility, reinforced outside evaluator's freedom and helping review advisory group individuals in completing their oversight work by giving them confirmation on inward review report have affected emphatically to corporate administration, accordingly lessening charge related extortion and impropriety. In this way the investigation prescribes that; the administration of legal examiners ought to be utilized in Nigerian associations.

Keywords: Systematic Review; Outside Assessor; Income tax Elusion; Income tax Circumvention; Scam, Malpractice; Company Management

1. Introduction

The relentless expense related misrepresentation and misbehavior and its effect on corporate execution has prompted the requirement for various connectivity, i.e. the interrelationship existing among the review board of trustees, the outside examiner and the administration in corporate administration as to securing the enthusiasm of investors and other market members with the shared objective of improving oversight work and guaranteeing great corporate administration (Deloitte & Touch 2006). Be that as it may, notwithstanding the multi-dimensional connection between the three significant gatherings in corporate administration, charge related misrepresentation and misbehavior which had prompted lackluster showing and most occasions to corporate breakdown coming about to tremendous loss of venture and impoverishment of numerous speculators has kept on being on increment (Rezae 2005). Ramaswamy (2009) in accordance with the above proclamation there is an extraordinary requirement for gifted experts that can distinguish, uncover and forestall shortcomings in three key territories: poor corporate administration, imperfect inside controls and deceitful budget summaries. Owojori and Asoula (2009) states that the Failure of Statutory review to forestall and lessen misappropriation of corporate extortion and increment in corporate wrongdoing has squeezed the expert bookkeeper and lawful professional to locate a superior method for uncovering misrepresentation in business world. The above issues have presented genuine worry to the

¹ Covenant University, Nigeria, Address: Ota, Ogun State, Nigeria, Corresponding author: cordeliaomodero@yahoo.com.

² Medonice Consulting and Research Institute, Nigeria, Address: 157 Aba Rd, opposite INEC, New GRA, Port Harcourt, Nigeria, E-mail: newmanenyioko@yahoo.com.

bookkeeping calling, and clients of bookkeeping data, consequently offering ascend to the call for legal examiners. Taking into account the abovementioned, this paper targets looking at the importance of legal review and examination in settling charge related misrepresentation and wrongdoing.

1.1. Objectives of the Study

In view of the above problem this study considers the following:

- i. Examining the use of measurable review and examination in battling false exercises in corporate association.
- ii. Determining the attributes required by measurable evaluators as to battle false exercises.
- iii. Differentiating between Forensic Auditor and Financial Auditor.

2. Methodology

This paper is a hypothetical report on the use of criminological review and examination in settling charge related extortion and wrongdoing and it utilized the optional wellspring of information assortment by utilizing accessible writing on measurable inspecting and examinations as for settling charge related misrepresentation and impropriety.

3. Literature Review

3.1. The Concept of Fraud

Various researchers have shifted meanings of misrepresentation. Adewumi (1986) characterized misrepresentation as a cognizant planned activity of an individual or gathering of people with the aim of modifying reality and additionally certainty for narrow minded individual money related increase. It includes the utilization of duplicity and stunt and once in a while exceptionally shrewd tricky and ability. Watoseninyi (1996) sees misrepresentation as anomaly including criminal misleading to acquire an uncalled for or illicit bit of leeway. He further clarifies that extortion is the deviation of an individual's or association's cash or merchandise for fulfillment of individual or egotistical wants utilizing criminal double dealing procedures which are distinguished to incorporate defalcation by method for misappropriation of cash or products or control of records. From the legitimate perspective, misrepresentation arranges itself as nonexclusive term which grasps every single diverse mean, which human creativity can devise, that are turned to by one individual to get a bit of leeway over another by affectations (Nigerian Criminal Code, 1990). As indicated by Chambers Universal Learners' Dictionary (1985), the outdated Common Law Manual (Masango, 1998) contends that extortion is an unlawful making, with expectation to cheat, a deception which causes genuine preference or which is possibly biased to another. It recognizes basic components as follows: unlawfulness, distortion (which could be as words, direct, or inability to uncover); preference (which could either be genuine or potential), and expectation. The United States Association of Fraud Examiners (1999), in a somewhat traditionalist style, recognizes misrepresentation as the fake transformation and acquiring of cash or property by affectations; included are burglaries by bailee and awful check. Ihiagarajah (2008) sees extortion to mean any of various activities completed with the aim of duping a foundation or association. Essentially, the idea has been expressed to mean the utilization of fake intends to get cash, resources, or other property claimed or held by an association

(Wikipedia, 2013). One thing stands apart from the different definitions above which is the way that extortion shift generally in nature, character and technique for execution.

3.2. The Concept Misfeasance

Misfeasance in open office is a reason for activity in the common courts of England and Wales and certain Commonwealth nations. It is an activity against the holder of an open office, asserting generally that the workplace holder has abused or manhandled his capacity. The tort can be followed back to 1703 when Chief Justice Sir John Holt concluded that a landowner could sue a police Constable who denied him of his entitlement to cast a ballot (*Ashby v White*), (Hill & Hill, 2005). The tort was restored in 1985 when it was utilized with the goal that French turkey makers could sue the Ministry of Agriculture over a question that hurt their deals. In principle, misfeasance is unmistakable from nonfeasance. Nonfeasance is a term that portrays an inability to act that outcomes in damage to another gathering. Misfeasance, on the other hand, depicts some confirmed demonstration that, however lawful, causes hurt. By and by, the differentiation is befuddling and un-educational. Courts regularly experience issues deciding if hurt came about because of an inability to act or from a demonstration that was inappropriately performed. Impropriety is a far reaching term utilized in both common and Criminal Law to portray any demonstration that is unjust. It's anything but a particular wrongdoing or TORT, yet might be utilized by and large to depict any demonstration that is criminal or that is unfair and offers ascend to, or by one way or another adds to, the injury of someone else, (West's Encyclopedia of American Law, 2008). Misbehavior is a certifiable demonstration that is illicit or illegitimate. In tort law it is unmistakable from misfeasance, which is a demonstration that isn't unlawful yet is inappropriately performed. It is additionally unmistakable from Nonfeasance, which is an inability to act that outcomes in injury. Misbehavior is purposefully accomplishing something either legitimately or ethically wrong which one reserved no option to do. It generally includes unscrupulousness, illicitness, or purposely surpassing expert for ill-advised reasons. Misbehavior is recognized from "misfeasance," which is submitting a wrong or blunder accidentally, carelessness or incident, yet not by deliberate bad behavior.

3.3. The Concept of Forensic Auditing

The idea measurable inspecting and scientific bookkeeping are utilized reciprocally. The idea has been articulated by a few creators and researchers. As indicated by Dahli (2008), scientific originates from the Latin word 'for open' and explicitly to 'gathering'. The discussion was the place the Ancient Romans were instructed to work together and settle debates in addition to other things. He further buttressed that scientific identifies with the utilization of information to legitimate issues, for example, violations. This definition follows the historical backdrop of legal bookkeeping and its application in suit support. Legal is as old as history yet its use got little consideration previously. It is presently turning out to be conspicuous as a result of increment in money related outrages. Joshi (2003) attributed the beginning of measurable bookkeeping to Kutilya, the main business analyst whom he said referenced 40 different ways of misappropriation hundreds of years prior. In any case, he expressed that the term scientific bookkeeping was begat by Peloubet in 1946, when he characterized criminological bookkeeping as the utilization of bookkeeping information and analytical aptitudes to recognize and resolve lawful issues. Crumbley (2003) characterized scientific examining as a bookkeeping examination that can reveal conceivable extortion that is appropriate for introduction in court. A scientific bookkeeper utilizes his insight into bookkeeping, law, analytical reviewing, criminology, and brain science to reveal extortion, discover proof and present such proof in court whenever required. As per him individual who professes to be something that he isn't is

misrepresentation, a catch, a tricky, stunt, cheat and a swindler. Criminological bookkeeping is not quite the same as the old charge or acknowledge bookkeeping as it gives a bookkeeping investigation that is appropriate to the association, which will help in settling the debates that emerge in the association. Measurable bookkeepers are frequently held to dissect, decipher, outline and present complex money related and business related issues in a way, which is both justifiable and appropriately bolstered. Albretch and Albretch (2001), portrayed measurable inspecting as the usage of particular insightful aptitudes in completing an enquiry led in such a way, that the result will have application to the official courtroom. They further expressed that the essential point of scientific inspecting is misrepresentation recognition, dissimilar to the customary evaluating that centers around survey of interior control framework, blunder distinguishing proof and avoidance. Scientific evaluators are experienced reviewers, bookkeepers, and specialists of legitimate and budgetary records that are employed to investigate conceivable doubt of false movement inside an organization; or are contracted by an organization who may simply need to keep deceitful exercises from happening. The situation demands declaring, wherever accountability of the falsification may be established such that information will be relevant and useful to the court of legal jurisdiction or in managerial procedures. Otherwise called insightful bookkeeping, legal bookkeeping is a nitty gritty assessment and examination of budgetary archives and records for use as proof in a courtroom. The term measurable bookkeeping can allude to anything from the execution of a misrepresentation examination to the entertainment of genuine bookkeeping records after the revelation that they have been controlled.

4. Conceptual Definition of Strategic Tax Behaviors

4.1. Tax Evasion, Tax Avoidance and Licit Savings of Taxes

With the end goal of this examination, key assessment practices (or forceful duty arranging methodologies) are each one of those activities planned exclusively to limit corporate expense commitments whose legitimacy might be under uncertainty. Three classifications of expense practices can be recognized: tax avoidance, charge evasion and licit sparing of duties, (Akindele, 2011). Tax avoidance can be artificially characterized as deliberate unlawful conduct, (conduct including an immediate infringement of assessment law, so as to get away from installment of expenses). Tax avoidance is the illicit avoidance of assessments by people, organizations and trusts. Tax avoidance frequently involves citizens intentionally distorting the genuine condition of their issues to the expense specialists to diminish their duty risk and incorporates unscrupulous assessment detailing, for example, pronouncing less pay, benefits or gains than the sums really earned, or exaggerating conclusions. Interestingly, charge shirking is the lawful utilization of duty laws to diminish one's taxation rate. Both tax avoidance and shirking can be seen as types of expense resistance, as they portray a scope of exercises that expect to subvert a state's assessment framework, albeit such arrangement of duty evasion isn't unquestionable, given that shirking is legal, inside self-making frameworks. Licit sparing of charges can be characterized as ordinarily acknowledged types of practices which are neither illegal nor against the actual intent of the law. The extent of every one of these ideas fluctuates from nation to nation contingent upon government's strategies, court choices, charge specialists' mentalities and popular conclusion. Right now, charge practices are along these lines all practices recognized as tax avoidance or expense evasion.

Exercises being done by Forensic Auditors Institute of criminological examiners (IFA) characterizes measurable movement as the action that comprises of social event, confirming, preparing, breaking

down of and providing details regarding information so as to get realities as well as proof in a predefined setting in the territory of legitimate monetary questions and additionally regulative (counting misrepresentation) and offering safeguard guidance. From the over one can say that a legal examiner is a specialist in bookkeeping with encounters and unique aptitude in Auditing, Fraud recognition and criminology that does examination which is usable in the Law Court. So as to comprehend exercises been done by the scientific evaluator there is have to know the target of legal review.

The targets of legal review will assist us with understanding the job of measurable inspector and its effect on corporate administration. These goals are:

- To improve the board responsibility.
- To improve corporate administration and the statutory review work.
- To improving monetary announcing framework.
- Help in distinguishing charge related extortion and misbehavior.
- Help in reinforcing reviewers' freedom
- Providing extra affirmation for review councils.
- Financial articulation reviewers to assume more noteworthy liability for the location of misrepresentation and unlawful acts while examining budget report because of the way that another arrangement of evaluators (legal inspectors) would be basically assessing their job.
- Forensic reviews possibly could give the review panels instrument to all the more likely assess the nature of the budget summary inspected by the outer reviewer.

Contrasts between Forensic Auditing and Financial Auditing

Scientific inspector or Non-statutory reviewer is utilized or selected by association to determine claims and recognize or forestall deceitful exercises suspected or visualized in the association. Accordingly, Forensic inspectors complete examination as to determine charges on fake money related issues through court. Criminological review is anything but a typical review yet review which might follow examining strategies and GAAP in completing its capacity. Zimbeldam et al. (2012) states that, such review is a proactive review which goes past typical review methodology. Scientific review is aimed at explicit claims. Criminological review is done as a monetary examination on explicit charges. Henceforth he has a lot of time to research and examine altogether explicit false money related issue with accentuation on utilizing such proof in law court if there should arise an occurrence of contest (Albrecht and Albrecht 2009). Legal Auditor isn't able to acknowledge clarifications and records at face esteem (Cole, 2009). From the over the measurable evaluator is required to consistently go past the typical review and quest for misrepresentation which are to be accounted for to whosoever has selected the criminological inspector or to be utilized as master proof in the law courts.

Money related examiner or statutory inspector is typically selected to carryout statutory review. The budgetary review is typically done to fulfill statutory prerequisites, and guarantee that records arranged/kept up are in accordance with GAAP. Millichamp (1990) states that the statutory review is an obligatory review which guarantees that fiscal summary are in accordance with GAAP. From the abovementioned, it very well may be said that statutory examiner might possibly go past the procedural review since he isn't constrained by law to scan for misrepresentation but instead in

completing its review work if extortion is identified he will disclose it. Statutory inspector is general in nature. As per Millucky and Mac (2013), money related review is completed on general budgetary issues, (that implies the examiner considers all issues and concerns identifying with bookkeeping). Money related examiners ordinarily don't tunnel further into reports and clarifications, this implies monetary evaluator for the most part doesn't complete point by point diagnostic investigation of budgetary archives and clarifications rather he attempts to offer assurance to accounts arranged by the board and their workers which are in accordance with GAAP and statutory guideline, (Cole 2009).

4.2. Duties of Criminological Assessors in Fighting Duplicitous Undertakings and Malpractices

The legal inspectors or misrepresentation examiners have the duties that are recorded underneath:

- i. Directing Enquiry: The legal inspector doesn't complete procedural review, however conveys a review which conducts examination as to identify misrepresentation or wrongdoing utilizing PC programs or logical information. This implies the criminological examiner ought to have the capacity to utilize PC scientific devices that could be both programming and equipment in completing its capacity as to distinguish or forestall fake exercises. In this way by utilizing the PC scientific instruments in completing his obligations, advanced false exercises can be battled.
- ii. Scrutinizing Monetary Business deal: According to Owojori and Asolu (2009), a stematic evaluator in completing his capacity breaks down money related exchange including unapproved moves of money between organizations. Thus, legal reviewers are required to have uncommon aptitudes in investigating reports for genuineness, change, imitation or duplicating (Cole, 2009). Henceforth, by having such aptitudes, the legal reviewer in completing his obligations can without much of a stretch identify blunders, deceitful exercises and oversights in this way forestalling and lessening false exercises. A measurable reviewer is liable for dissecting, recognizing the sorts of misrepresentation that could happen and their manifestations (Zimbleman et. al., 2012).
- iii. Rebuilding a fragmented bookkeeping archives: The measurable evaluator in completing his capacity remakes inadequate bookkeeping records as to settle protection claims, over stock valuation, demonstrating illegal tax avoidance exercises by reproducing money exchanges. (Owojori & Asaolu 2009). So as to battle false exercises, the criminological reviewer with his abilities (Technological, correspondence and mastery aptitudes) in bookkeeping information can reproduce deficient bookkeeping records, thus assisting with recognizing and forestall misrepresentation and guaranteeing great inward control framework and great corporate administration.
- iv. Embezzlement examination: In doing misappropriation examination and giving documentation, and exchange of protection settlements the scientific reviewer utilizes his uncommon expertise and experience, in this way assisting with distinguishing the offender and sum stole. It follows that measurable reviewers usually incorporate scam recognition, certification and introduction in unlawful preliminaries and cases (Cabole, 2009). Count of monetary harms; follow pay and resources, frequently trying to discover concealed resources or pay, reproduction of fiscal summary that may have been crushed or controlled.
- v. Knowledgeable observer.

There is an obligation that measurable reviewers must be master (specialists) in budgetary issues and should have lawful information which could empower him distinguish fake exercises which are to be introduced in the claim (Cabole, 2009; Wallace, 2009). Recognizing Tax related extortion and

misbehavior With the utilization of diagnostic and innovative aptitude, the criminological examiner can without much of a stretch identify charge related misrepresentation and impropriety executed by the executives in this manner forestalling corporate disappointment and guaranteeing great corporate administration. Cleary and Thibodean (2005) states that the legal reviewer realizes how to recognize and forestall business misrepresentation utilizing Benford law (an extortion investigative advanced device). In this manner, from the abovementioned, one might say that measurable evaluators in assisting with recognizing and forestall deceitful exercises could be believed to have helped associations in diminishing assessment related extortion and misbehavior which most time had prompted corporate breakdown.

5. Conclusion and Recommendations

5.1. Conclusion

The capacity to distinguish extortion or tax avoidance is critical towards charge consistence. For example, it requires being down to earth to review all cases, the dread of being gotten would be adequate to go about as a hindrance. Expense authorities ought to be presented to sufficient and consistent preparing; both at home and abroad, for a superior comprehension of late residential and global duty issues, which could then be used, to detail fruitful assessment consistence systems. The significance of measurable examining can't be thought little of because of worldwide tireless execution of extortion in associations. This to be sure has made analysts and the board of organizations to investigate different methods for handling and diminishing the hazard of misrepresentation.

5.2. Recommendations

The accompanying suggestions are in this way made:

- i. The administration of criminological evaluators ought to be utilized in Nigerian associations. This should be possible by correcting the current resolutions, in this manner making scientific inspectors one of the review group;
- ii. Criminological reviewing ought to be instructed in tertiary organizations to all the more likely educated and competent bookkeepers;
- iii. Moral crusade among representatives towards growing high good guidelines should be advocated;
- iv. Rehearsing Accountants ought to likewise represent considerable authority in legal reviewing;
- v. Extortion culprits ought to be appropriately endorsed with no dread or favor.

Acknowledgement

Electronic copy of the first version is available at: <http://ssrn.com/abstract=2640502>

References

- *** (1964). *Webster's New World Dictionary*. Forensic Accountant. New York: College Edition Cleveland and World publishing, pp. 380.
- *** (1985). *Chambers Universal Learners' Dictionary*. Ibadan, Nigeria: Spectrum Book Ltd.
- *** (1999). Association of Fraud Examiners Report.
- *** (2008). *West's Encyclopedia of American Law*. Edition 2. Copyright 2008 The Gale Group, Inc. All rights Reserved.
- Adewumi, W. (1986). *Fraud in Banks*. Nigerian Institute of Bankers.
- Akindele, R. I. (2011). Fraud as a Negative Catalyst in the Nigerian Banking Industry. *Journal of Emerging Trends in Economics and Management Sciences* 2(5).
- Albrecht, C. C. & Albrecht, C. O. (2009). Data driven fraud detection using Detect less. *Journal of forensic and Investigation accounting*, Vol. 1, No. 1, pp. 1-24.
- Albrecht, C. & Albrecht, U. (2001). Can Auditors Detect Fraud? A Review of Research Evidence. *Journal of Forensic Accounting*, Vol 11, pp. 1-2.
- Cabole, N. (2009). *Forensic Accounting*. A paper presented in the Hilton Hotel Lagos, 2nd June, 2009.
- Cleary, R. & Thibodeous, J. C. (2005). Applying Digital Analysis using Benfords law to detect Fraud, Auditing. *A Journal of practice and theory*, pp. 77-81.
- Cole, C. (2009). *Forensic Audit*. A paper presented at Hilton Hotel Lagos 2nd June.
- Crumbley, D. L (2003). *Forensic and Investigative Accounting*. CCH Publishing.
- Dahli, G. (2008). Forensic Accounting and Auditing: Compared and Contrasted to Traditional Accounting and Auditing. *American Journal of Business Education*, 1(2).
- Deloitte & Touche (2006). *Integrity and Quality corporate Governance*. <https://www.google.com.ng/search?q=Deloitte+and+Touche>.
- Hill, G. N. & Hill, K.T. (2005). *The 300-year-old beginnings of Byers' day in court*. New York: Telegraph.
- Ihiagarajah, F. (2008). *Bank and Loans Orange Country*. www.allbusiness.com.
- Joshi, M. S. (2003). *Definition of Forensic Accounting*. www.forensicaccounting.com.
- Masango, C. (1998). *Criminal Law Manual*. Zimbabwe Republic Police Printer, Harare.
- Millichamp, A. H (1990). *Auditing an Instructional Manual for Accounting Students*. 4th edition DP Publications, London.
- Millichamp, A. H. (2002). *Auditing*. London: Bath Press, p. 158.
- Milucky, J.J. & Mac, G. (2015). *Forensic Accounting Specialist*. Available at <https://www.servicesofforensicauditor.html> accessed on 16th March.
- Nigerian Criminal Code of 1990*.
- Owojori, A. A. & Asaolu, T.O. (2009). The Role of forensic Accounting in Solving the Vexed problem of corporate world. *European Journal of scientific Research*, 29(2), pp. 183-187.
- Ramaswamy, .V. (2009). Corporate Governance and the Forensic Accountant. *The Certified Public Accountant (CPA) Journal New York*, 6th January.
- Wallace, A. (November, 1991). *The role of Forensic Nigerian Accountant*.
- Watoseninyi, A. B. (1996). Survey of Audit Investigation in Nigeria. The case of frauds. *The National Accountant*, 6(5).
- Wikipedia Encyclopedia. (2015). *Forensic Accountant*. <https://c//forensicaccountant/wikipedia.html>.
- Zimbleman, M. F.; Albrecht, C. C.; Albrecht, S.W. & Albrecht, C.O. (2012). *Forensic Accounting*. Cengage.