



New Trends
in Psychology

Storytelling as a Psychological and Social Practice

Ecaterina Popa¹

Abstract : Storytelling, understood as the act of narrating personal or collective experiences, constitutes a fundamental practice at both psychological and social levels. The present article examines the role of storytelling as a tool for reflection, intervention, and personal development, with a focus on two distinct yet functionally comparable institutional contexts: the penitentiary environment and the academic setting. In the former, storytelling is approached as a mechanism for rehabilitation, emotional regulation, and identity reconstruction, while in the latter, it is conceptualized as an educational and reflective strategy that contributes to the consolidation of the academic community. The analysis highlights the cross-contextual potential of storytelling to facilitate learning, social integration, and the assumption of responsibility, regardless of the institutional framework.

Keywords: narrative processes; identity reconstruction; emotional regulation; rehabilitation; higher education

1. Introduction

Storytelling represents one of the fundamental ways through which individuals and communities structure their experience, memory, and identity. From foundational myths to contemporary autobiographical narratives, the act of telling a story

¹ Ph.D., Lecturer, School of Human Advancement & Life Sciences, Danubius International University, Galati, Romania, Address: 3 Galati Blvd., Galati 800654, Romania, Corresponding author: ecaterina.popa@univ-danubius.ro.



Copyright: © 2026 by the authors.

Open access publication under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial (CC BY NC) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>)

constitutes a privileged means of making sense of lived reality. Jerome Bruner (1990) emphasizes that narrativity is not merely a form of cultural expression, but a distinct mode of thought, complementary to the logico-scientific mode, through which individuals interpret their lives and social relationships.

Within psychology and the social sciences, storytelling has been conceptualized as a tool for identity construction and as a mediating process between individual experience and socially shared frameworks of meaning. Paul Ricoeur (1991) introduces the concept of narrative identity, highlighting that the self is constituted and sustained through the stories individuals tell about themselves and the world. In a similar vein, Dan P. McAdams (2001) argues that the life story functions as an integrative framework linking past, present, and future, with significant implications for psychosocial adaptation.

In the Romanian context, the importance of the narrative dimension of human experience is reflected in the work of scholars such as Vasile Preda and Adrian Neculau, who emphasize the role of communication, collective memory, and socio-cultural context in shaping meaning and social identity. Storytelling thus emerges as a practice that articulates the relationship between the individual and the community, fulfilling both formative and transformative functions.

Within institutional contexts, storytelling acquires specific valences, being employed as a tool for psychological, educational, and social intervention. The present article examines storytelling as a psychological and social practice across two distinct environments, the penitentiary setting and the academic context, which, despite their structural and functional differences, converge in their emphasis on reflection, personal development, and integration within a normative framework. This comparative approach allows for the identification of the cross-contextual nature of storytelling and its potential to support processes of rehabilitation and formation.

2. Storytelling as a Psychological and Social Practice

Storytelling, as a psychological and social practice, operates at the intersection of intrapsychic processes and relational dynamics. In psychology, the growing interest in the narrative dimension of human experience has led to the development of narrative psychology, a theoretical framework that posits that individuals construct their psychological reality through stories about themselves and the world (Sarbin,

1986). Narration is not merely a descriptive act, but an active process of selecting, organizing, and interpreting experience.

From this perspective, storytelling contributes to the organization of autobiographical memory and the maintenance of identity coherence. Dan P. McAdams (2001) highlights that personal identity is structured in the form of a life narrative that integrates significant events and provides individuals with a sense of continuity and direction. Paul Ricoeur (1991) complements this view through the concept of narrative identity, emphasizing the dialectical relationship between continuity and change in the construction of the self.

At the emotional level, storytelling facilitates affect regulation and the processing of difficult or traumatic experiences. Expressive writing and guided narration enable symbolic distancing from lived events, supporting their reinterpretation and integration (Pennebaker, 1997). In this sense, storytelling becomes a valuable tool for psychological intervention, used in both clinical and educational contexts.

From a social perspective, storytelling is inherently relational and communicative. Jerome Bruner (1990) argues that narratives are deeply embedded in cultural contexts, reflecting the values, norms, and interpretative frameworks of a given community. Through the sharing of stories, individuals negotiate meaning, build trust, and strengthen group cohesion.

Within Romanian social psychology, Adrian Neculau emphasizes the role of communication and symbolic interaction in shaping social identity, with storytelling functioning as a mediating mechanism between individual experience and the socio-cultural context. Similarly, Vasile Preda underlines the importance of discourse and personal reflection in processes of psychosocial adaptation and development.

Consequently, storytelling can be understood as a cross-cutting practice with both psychological functions (self-reflection, emotional regulation, identity construction) and social functions (communication, integration, transmission of values). This dual role accounts for its relevance across diverse institutional settings, including the penitentiary and academic environments.

3. Storytelling in the Penitentiary Environment

The penitentiary environment represents an institutional context characterized by constraint, control, and profound identity disruptions. Deprivation of liberty entails

not only physical restrictions but also significant transformations in self-image, social relationships, and the ways in which individuals interpret their own life trajectories. Within this framework, storytelling assumes an essential psychological and social function, becoming a means of reinterpreting and reconstructing the experience of incarceration.

From a psychological perspective, storytelling-based interventions are frequently associated with narrative therapy, developed by Michael White and David Epston (1990), which is grounded in the premise that problems do not define a person's identity but rather constitute dominant stories that can be deconstructed and reauthored. Applied in penitentiary settings, this approach enables the separation of personal identity from the criminal act and supports the reconstruction of alternative life narratives oriented toward responsibility and change.

Research in narrative criminology underscores the importance of desistance narratives—accounts through which former offenders explain and legitimize their disengagement from criminal behavior. Shadd Maruna (2001) demonstrates that individuals who succeed in constructing coherent narratives of personal transformation are more likely to achieve social reintegration and reduce recidivism. In this sense, storytelling becomes a central mechanism in the rehabilitation process.

At the emotional level, narrating experiences of incarceration facilitates emotional expression and regulation, providing a symbolic framework for processing guilt, shame, and frustration. Expressive writing and storytelling-based group interventions allow inmates to articulate their experiences in a structured manner, thereby reducing internal tension and maladaptive behaviors.

From a social perspective, storytelling contributes to reducing isolation and fostering empathy within the prison community. The sharing of personal narratives creates spaces of mutual recognition and supports the development of interpersonal relationships grounded in respect and trust. Romanian specialized literature on psychosocial assistance in penitentiary contexts highlights the role of educational and therapeutic programs centered on communication and personal reflection in promoting the humanization of detention.

Consequently, storytelling in the penitentiary environment functions both as a psychological practice of identity restructuring and as a social practice of reconnecting individuals with others and with broader social values. This dual

function accounts for the importance of systematically integrating narrative-based interventions into rehabilitation programs.

4. Storytelling in the Academic Environment

The academic environment represents an institutional space dedicated not only to the acquisition of knowledge, but also to the development of critical thinking, professional identity, and the capacity for reflection on one's own experience. Within this context, storytelling emerges as a psychological and social practice with significant educational value, facilitating the integration of cognitive and experiential dimensions.

From the perspective of constructivist learning theories, storytelling plays a central role in meaning-making processes. Jerome Bruner (1996) argues that narrative constitutes a fundamental mode of organizing experience and knowledge, complementary to logico-scientific thinking. In higher education, the use of narrative structures enables students to connect theoretical concepts with concrete situations relevant to their academic and professional trajectories.

The experiential learning model proposed by David Kolb (1984) highlights the importance of reflection on experience as a core stage in the learning process. Storytelling, in the form of reflective journals, narrative portfolios, or case-based accounts, facilitates the critical analysis of learning experiences and their transformation into cognitive and metacognitive resources. Through narration, students structure their experiences and enhance their capacity for self-regulated learning.

Storytelling is also relevant within the framework of transformative learning theory. Jack Mezirow (1991) emphasizes that critical reflection on significant experiences can lead to the revision of underlying assumptions and to profound shifts in meaning perspectives. In academic settings, narrative-based activities create opportunities for engaging with intellectual, ethical, and professional dilemmas, thereby supporting the development of intellectual autonomy and personal responsibility.

From a psychological perspective, storytelling contributes to the development of academic and professional identity. Narrating one's educational trajectory allows students to clarify their motivations, values, and career goals, integrating experiences of success and failure into a coherent narrative of personal development. This

approach is consistent with the theory of narrative identity advanced by Dan P. McAdams (2001), which underscores the role of life stories in organizing identity.

In Romanian educational scholarship, Constantin Cucuș highlights the importance of reflection and student-centered learning in higher education, while Georgeta Joița emphasizes the role of metacognition and reflective strategies in the development of academic competencies. From this perspective, storytelling can be understood as a pedagogical tool that supports active student engagement and the assumption of responsibility for one's own educational pathway.

At the social level, storytelling facilitates the construction of academic communities and the strengthening of educational relationships. The sharing of learning experiences and professional practice contributes to reducing the symbolic distance between faculty and students, promoting an academic climate grounded in dialogue, collaboration, and mutual respect.

In sum, within the academic environment, storytelling functions both as a psychological practice of reflection and identity construction, and as a social practice of communication and academic integration, with significant potential in shaping reflective and responsible professionals.

5. Comparative Perspectives: The Penitentiary and Academic Environments

A comparative analysis of storytelling in penitentiary and academic settings reveals a set of shared psychological and social functions, despite the substantial differences between these institutional contexts. Both environments are characterized by clearly defined normative structures and by processes of identity transition, in which individuals are required to renegotiate their roles and their relationship to themselves and others.

From a psychological perspective, storytelling operates in both contexts as a mechanism for organizing experience and constructing identity. In the penitentiary environment, narration facilitates the reinterpretation of the criminal past and the reconstruction of life narratives oriented toward change and responsibility. In the academic setting, storytelling supports the integration of educational and professional experiences into a coherent trajectory of personal development. In both cases, the narrative process contributes to maintaining identity continuity and

strengthening a sense of meaning, in line with the framework of narrative identity proposed by Paul Ricoeur and Dan P. McAdams.

At the emotional level, storytelling plays a significant role in affect regulation. In penitentiary contexts, it provides a symbolic framework for expressing and processing difficult emotions such as guilt, shame, and frustration. In academic environments, narrating educational experiences facilitates the management of stress, failure, and uncertainties related to professional development. In both settings, storytelling contributes to the development of psychological resilience.

From a social perspective, storytelling functions as a tool for communication and the construction of interpersonal relationships. In the penitentiary environment, the sharing of personal narratives can reduce isolation and foster empathy and group cohesion. In the academic context, storytelling supports educational dialogue and the consolidation of academic communities, reducing symbolic distance among participants and promoting collaborative learning.

The differences between the two environments derive primarily from their distinct institutional purposes: rehabilitation and social reintegration in penitentiary settings, and academic and professional formation in higher education. Nevertheless, the psychological and social mechanisms activated through storytelling remain largely similar, underscoring the cross-contextual nature of narrativity as a fundamental human practice.

Consequently, storytelling emerges as a flexible and effective instrument, capable of addressing diverse needs depending on the context, while relying on shared processes of reflection, meaning-making, and social integration. This comparative perspective supports the need to further integrate narrative-based approaches into institutional policies and practices oriented toward human development.

Conclusions

Viewed beyond its literary dimension, storytelling represents a deeply human means through which individuals organize their experiences, make sense of their emotions, and construct meaning around their personal trajectories. Across different institutional contexts, storytelling acquires a formative and even transformative potential, as it enables the reinterpretation of the past and a reorientation toward the present.

The analysis advanced in this article demonstrates that narrativity cannot be reduced to the mere expression of events; rather, it functions as a fundamental mechanism through which meanings are constructed, identities are shaped, and social relationships are structured.

In the penitentiary environment, storytelling contributes to processes of rehabilitation and social reintegration by facilitating the reinterpretation of life experiences and the differentiation of personal identity from criminal behavior. Narrative-based interventions support the assumption of responsibility, emotional regulation, and the formulation of future-oriented life projects, playing a significant role in reducing recidivism risk and promoting the humanization of detention.

In the academic setting, storytelling emerges as an educational and reflective strategy that fosters meaningful learning, the development of critical thinking, and the consolidation of academic and professional identity. Narrative activities enable the integration of educational experiences with theoretical knowledge, supporting intellectual autonomy and students' responsibility for their own learning trajectories.

The comparative perspective across these two environments highlights shared functions of storytelling—such as identity construction, emotional regulation, and social integration—despite institutional and functional differences. This convergence underscores the cross-contextual nature of storytelling as a fundamental human practice, relevant to processes of personal and social development.

In conclusion, the systematic integration of storytelling into psychological, educational, and social intervention programs may contribute to the development of more reflective, human-centered, and effective institutional practices. The incorporation of narrative approaches represents a meaningful direction for promoting responsibility, personal meaning, and social cohesion in both penitentiary and academic environments.

Story: The Bridge Between Walls

Illustrative Case Narrative

In an old city, at the edge of a hill, stood two imposing buildings. One was surrounded by high walls and heavy metal gates. The other featured grand columns and wide windows through which light entered unobstructed.

In the first building, people lived enclosed by mistakes and regrets. In the second, young individuals searched for direction among ideas, theories, and examinations. At first glance, the two worlds seemed to have nothing in common.

One day, a professor was invited to lead a writing workshop in the building with walls. He entered with a quiet sense of anticipation, carrying a few blank sheets of paper. He did not bring lessons on morality or formulas for success. Instead, he asked a simple question: **“What is your story?”**

At first, there was silence. Then one man began to speak—not about the act that had brought him there, but about his childhood, about a promise he had once made to himself and later forgotten. Another followed. Then another. Gradually, the walls remained unchanged, yet their meaning shifted. They were no longer merely boundaries, but witnesses to reflection.

A few weeks later, the same professor entered the hall with columns. He asked the students the same question: “What is your story?”

They spoke about pressure, fear of failure, and the desire not to disappoint their parents. Some realized they had been studying for grades rather than for meaning. Others discovered that they had hidden their own questions beneath dense bibliographies.

At that moment, the professor noticed something essential: there was an invisible bridge between the two buildings. It was not made of stone or steel, but of words. Wherever people tell their stories with honesty, a process of inner reorganization begins.

In one place, storytelling supported the reconstruction of a wounded identity. In the other, it facilitated the formation of an identity still in development. In both, it held the same power: to transform raw experience into meaning.

At the end of the year, the professor wrote a single sentence on the board:

“Walls separate spaces, but stories connect people.”

And he understood that genuine change does not begin with rules or diplomas, but with the moment someone finds the courage to claim their own narrative.

Moral of the Story

Storytelling is not merely a literary act, but a process of identity reconstruction and social integration. Regardless of the context—whether penitentiary or academic—

when experience is articulated narratively, it becomes comprehensible, negotiable, and, at times, transformative.

References

- Bruner, J. (1990). *Acts of meaning*. Harvard University Press.
- Bruner, J. (1996). *The culture of education*. Harvard University Press.
- Cucoș, C. (2005). *Pedagogia universitară* [University pedagogy]. Polirom.
- Joița, G. (2002). *Învățarea reflexivă și dezvoltarea competențelor la studenți* [Reflective learning and skills development in students]. Editura Didactică și Pedagogică.
- Kolb, D. A. (1984). *Experiential learning: Experience as the source of learning and development*. Prentice Hall.
- Maruna, S. (2001). *Making good: How ex-convicts reform and rebuild their lives*. American Psychological Association.
- McAdams, D. P. (2001). The psychology of life stories. *Review of General Psychology*, 5(2), 100–122.
- Mezirow, J. (1991). *Transformative dimensions of adult learning*. Jossey-Bass.
- Neculau, A. (2001). *Comunicare și interacțiune socială* [Communication and social interaction]. Polirom.
- Pennebaker, J. W. (1997). *Opening up: The healing power of expressing emotions*. Guilford Press.
- Preda, V. (2004). *Psihologia comunicării și relațiilor sociale* [Psychology of communication and social relationships]. Polirom.
- Ricoeur, P. (1991). Life in quest of narrative. In D. Wood (Ed.), *On Paul Ricoeur: Narrative and interpretation* (pp. 20–33). Routledge.
- White, M., & Epston, D. (1990). *Narrative means to therapeutic ends*. W. W. Norton.